

# Spectral action for the Standard Model without fermion doubling

Arkadiusz Bochniak

joint work with Andrzej Sitarz and Paweł Zalecki

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Jagiellonian University

1.12.2021

# The Standard Model from the spectral perspective

## 1 Spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ :

- $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  represented on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
- (essentially) self-adjoint operator  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
- other “decorations”:  $\gamma, \mathcal{J}, \dots$ :
  - $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading  $\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  s.th.  $[\gamma, \mathcal{A}] = 0$ ,
  - real structure: antilinear isometry  $\mathcal{J}$
- compact resolvent, domains, relations (0th-, 1st-order), ...

# The Standard Model from the spectral perspective

## 1 Spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ :

- $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  represented on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
- (essentially) self-adjoint operator  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
- other “decorations”:  $\gamma, \mathcal{J}, \dots$ :
  - $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading  $\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  s.th.  $[\gamma, \mathcal{A}] = 0$ ,
  - real structure: antilinear isometry  $\mathcal{J}$
- compact resolvent, domains, relations (0th-, 1st-order), ...

## 2 Spectral action principle:

- To get an effective classical Lagrangian.
- To compare obtained predictions with experiments.

# How to choose the spectral triple?

- Which axioms are crucial and which can be modified/omitted?

# How to choose the spectral triple?

- Which axioms are crucial and which can be modified/omitted?
- What can be read from experiments?

*What the physics tells us?*

# How to choose the spectral triple?

- Which axioms are crucial and which can be modified/omitted?
- What can be read from experiments?

*What the physics tells us?*

- Can the model be formulated without having too many *decorations*?

# How to choose the spectral triple?

- Which axioms are crucial and which can be modified/omitted?
- What can be read from experiments?

*What the physics tells us?*

- Can the model be formulated without having too many *decorations*?
- The standard choice: almost-commutative **product** geometries

$$(C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathcal{A}_F, L^2(M) \otimes \mathcal{H}_F, \mathcal{D}, J, \gamma)$$

with  $\mathcal{D} = \not{D} \otimes 1 + \gamma_5 \otimes \mathcal{D}_F$  and  $\mathcal{A}_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$ .

## Is this picture really complete?

- How to rigorously pass from Euclidean to Lorentzian formulation? Is there any chance to have purely Lorentzian model?



## Is this picture really complete?

- How to rigorously pass from Euclidean to Lorentzian formulation? Is there any chance to have purely Lorentzian model?
- There are too many fermions - one need to implement some projection. Is this really necessary?

## Is this picture really complete?

- How to rigorously pass from Euclidean to Lorentzian formulation? Is there any chance to have purely Lorentzian model?
- There are too many fermions - one need to implement some projection. Is this really necessary?
- Possibility of  $SU(3)$ -symmetry breaking: *usual* minimal axioms do not fully eliminate unphysical models.

## Is this picture really complete?

- How to rigorously pass from Euclidean to Lorentzian formulation? Is there any chance to have purely Lorentzian model?
- There are too many fermions - one need to implement some projection. Is this really necessary?
- Possibility of  $SU(3)$ -symmetry breaking: *usual* minimal axioms do not fully eliminate unphysical models.
- Some *predictions* do not fully agree with experiments, e.g. the value of the Higgs mass.

## Our approach

- Go slightly beyond the usual almost-commutative framework.

## Our approach

- Go slightly beyond the usual almost-commutative framework.  
In particular, the Dirac operator does not need to be of the product type.

# Our approach

- Go slightly beyond the usual almost-commutative framework.  
In particular, the Dirac operator does not need to be of the product type.
- Use *reverse engineering*:

## Our approach

- Go slightly beyond the usual almost-commutative framework. In particular, the Dirac operator does not need to be of the product type.
- Use *reverse engineering*: try to deduce the appropriate geometric formulation by looking at the **physical** Standard Model.

## Our approach

- Go slightly beyond the usual almost-commutative framework. In particular, the Dirac operator does not need to be of the product type.
- Use *reverse engineering*: try to deduce the appropriate geometric formulation by looking at the **physical** Standard Model.
- Try to keep the information about the Lorentzian structure as long as possible.



## Our approach

- Go slightly beyond the usual almost-commutative framework. In particular, the Dirac operator does not need to be of the product type.
- Use *reverse engineering*: try to deduce the appropriate geometric formulation by looking at the **physical** Standard Model.
- Try to keep the information about the Lorentzian structure as long as possible.
- Compute the spectral action and **check** if the model *makes sense*.

## Krein-shifted geometry

- Dirac operator for (1,3)-Minkowski space:  $\mathcal{D} = i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$ .

# Krein-shifted geometry

- Dirac operator for (1,3)-Minkowski space:  $\mathcal{D} = i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$ .
- Fermionic action:  $\int \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi = \int \psi^\dagger \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \psi$ , where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$ ;  
 $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \gamma^0 \mathcal{D}$  - the Krein shift of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

# Krein-shifted geometry

- Dirac operator for (1,3)-Minkowski space:  $\mathcal{D} = i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$ .
- Fermionic action:  $\int \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi = \int \psi^\dagger \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \psi$ , where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$ ;  
 $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \gamma^0 \mathcal{D}$  - the Krein shift of  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  - symmetric  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}$  - Krein-self-adjoint:  $\mathcal{D} = \gamma^0 \mathcal{D} \gamma^0$

# Krein-shifted geometry

- Dirac operator for (1,3)-Minkowski space:  $\mathcal{D} = i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$ .
- Fermionic action:  $\int \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi = \int \psi^\dagger \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \psi$ , where  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$ ;  
 $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \gamma^0 \mathcal{D}$  - the Krein shift of  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  - symmetric  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}$  - Krein-self-adjoint:  $\mathcal{D} = \gamma^0 \mathcal{D} \gamma^0$
- $\mathcal{D} \gamma = -\gamma \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J} \mathcal{D}$ .
- $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \gamma = \gamma \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{J} = -\mathcal{J} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ .
- ...

# Finite Riemannian spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}, \pi_L, \pi_R)$

- $\mathcal{A}$  - finite dimensional algebra
- $\mathcal{H}$  - finite dimensional Hilbert space
- $\pi_L$  - representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$
- $\pi_R$  - representation of  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$
- $[\pi_L(a), \pi_R(b)] = 0$  - (0th order condition)
- $[[\mathcal{D}, \pi_L(a)], \pi_R(b)] = 0$  - (1st order condition)

## Additional conditions

- $\text{spin}_c$  type geometry:  $(Cl_{\mathcal{D}}(\pi_L(\mathcal{A})))' = \pi_R(\mathcal{A})$ .
- Hodge condition:  $(Cl_{\mathcal{D}}(\pi_L(\mathcal{A})))' = Cl_{\mathcal{D}}(\pi_R(\mathcal{A}))$ ,

where  $Cl_{\mathcal{D}}(\pi_L(\mathcal{A}))$  is the algebra generated by  $\pi_L(\mathcal{A})$  and  $[\mathcal{D}, \pi_L(\mathcal{A})]$ .

[Dąbrowski– D’Andrea, 2016], [Dąbrowski– D’Andrea– Sitarz, 2018], [Dąbrowski– Sitarz, 2019]

# Application for the Standard Model

Rephrase the universally accepted form of the **physical** Standard Model Lagrangian in the language of spectral triples being as close as possible to the Lorentzian structure.



# Application for the Standard Model

- The particle content for one generation:

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R & u_R^1 & u_R^2 & u_R^3 \\ e_R & d_R^1 & d_R^2 & d_R^3 \\ \nu_L & u_L^1 & u_L^2 & u_L^3 \\ e_L & d_L^1 & d_L^2 & d_L^3 \end{pmatrix} \in M_4(H_W)$$

# Application for the Standard Model

- The particle content for one generation:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R & u_R^1 & u_R^2 & u_R^3 \\ e_R & d_R^1 & d_R^2 & d_R^3 \\ \nu_L & u_L^1 & u_L^2 & u_L^3 \\ e_L & d_L^1 & d_L^2 & d_L^3 \end{pmatrix} \in M_4(H_W)$$

- As an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  we take  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$ -valued smooth functions on the spacetime, with representations:

$$\pi_L(\lambda, q, m)\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & \\ & \bar{\lambda} & \\ & & q \end{pmatrix} \Psi, \quad \pi_R(\lambda, q, m)\Psi = \Psi \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\lambda} & & \\ & & \\ & & m^\dagger \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Dirac operator

- At every point of the Minkowski space, linear operators on the space of particles can be encoded as a matrix from  $M_4(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_4(\mathbb{C})$ .
- Dirac operator:  $\mathcal{D}_{SM}\Psi = \mathcal{D}\Psi + \mathcal{D}_F\Psi$ , where

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & i\tilde{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \\ & & & i\tilde{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \\ i\sigma^\mu \partial_\mu & & & \\ & i\sigma^\mu \partial_\mu & & \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\mathcal{D}_F$  is a finite endomorphism of  $M_4(H_W)$ . Here  $\tilde{\sigma}^0 = \sigma^0 = 1_2$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}^j = -\sigma^j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

- The Lorentz invariance of the full Dirac operator implies that  $\mathcal{D}_F$  has to be in  $M_4(\mathbb{C}) \otimes 1_2 \otimes M_4(\mathbb{C})$ .

- The Lorentz invariance of the full Dirac operator implies that  $\mathcal{D}_F$  has to be in  $M_4(\mathbb{C}) \otimes 1_2 \otimes M_4(\mathbb{C})$ .
- As a result,  $\mathcal{D}_F$  commutes with the chirality  $\Gamma = \pi_L(1, -1, 1)$ .

# Dirac operator

- The Lorentz invariance of the full Dirac operator implies that  $\mathcal{D}_F$  has to be in  $M_4(\mathbb{C}) \otimes 1_2 \otimes M_4(\mathbb{C})$ .
- As a result,  $\mathcal{D}_F$  commutes with the chirality  $\Gamma = \pi_L(1, -1, 1)$ .
- Therefore,  $\mathcal{D}_{SM} = \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}_F$  with  $\{\mathcal{D}, \Gamma\} = 0$  and  $[\mathcal{D}_F, \Gamma] = 0$ .
- Krein-shifted operators behave in the opposite way.

## Theorem

*With the above assumptions,*

- *Requiring  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{SM}$  to satisfy the first order condition implies*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_F = \begin{pmatrix} & M_l \\ M_l^\dagger & \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_2 \otimes e_{11} + \begin{pmatrix} & M_q \\ M_q^\dagger & \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_2 \otimes (1_4 - e_{11}),$$

*where  $M_l, M_q \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ .*

- *if  $M_l, M_q$  are nondegenerate then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{SM}$  satisfies the  $spin_c$  condition.*

# The Standard Model with three generations of particles

- Hilbert space:  $M_4(H_W) \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ .
- Representation enlarged diagonally.
- $M_l, M_q \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_3(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$M_l = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_\nu & \\ & \Upsilon_e \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_q = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_u & \\ & \Upsilon_d \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\Upsilon_e, \Upsilon_u$  - diagonal,  $\Upsilon_\nu = U\widetilde{\Upsilon}_\nu U^\dagger$ ,  $\Upsilon_d = V\widetilde{\Upsilon}_d V^\dagger$ ,

$U$ – Pontecorvo–Maki–Nakagawa–Sakata matrix,

$V$ – Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa matrix.



# The Standard Model with three generations of particles

## Theorem

*The spin-c condition holds provided that for both pairs of matrices  $(\Upsilon_\nu, \Upsilon_e)$  and  $(\Upsilon_u, \Upsilon_d)$  their eigenvalues are pairwise different.*

- This is the same condition as for Hodge duality [Dąbrowski–Sitarz, 2019]

# The Standard Model with three generations of particles

## Theorem

*The spin-c condition holds provided that for both pairs of matrices  $(\Upsilon_\nu, \Upsilon_e)$  and  $(\Upsilon_u, \Upsilon_d)$  their eigenvalues are pairwise different.*

- This is the same condition as for Hodge duality [Dąbrowski–Sitarz, 2019]
- This condition is satisfied for physical Standard Model provided that there is no massless neutrino [Dąbrowski–Sitarz, 2019]

# The Standard Model with three generations of particles

## Theorem

*The spin-c condition holds provided that for both pairs of matrices  $(\Upsilon_\nu, \Upsilon_e)$  and  $(\Upsilon_u, \Upsilon_d)$  their eigenvalues are pairwise different.*

- This is the same condition as for Hodge duality [Dąbrowski–Sitarz, 2019]
- This condition is satisfied for physical Standard Model provided that there is no massless neutrino [Dąbrowski–Sitarz, 2019]
- The model can be doubled: the resulting spectral triple satisfies the Hodge duality and is the finite part of the one studied in the almost-commutative framework.

## CP violation and reality of the spectral triple

- The usual 0th order condition is not implemented by  $\mathcal{J}$ , but its milder version is:  $\pi_R(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}\pi_L(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ .

## CP violation and reality of the spectral triple

- The usual 0th order condition is not implemented by  $\mathcal{J}$ , but its milder version is:  $\pi_R(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}\pi_L(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ .
- The presence of the real structure implies the reality of  $M_l$  and  $M_q$ .

## CP violation and reality of the spectral triple

- The usual 0th order condition is not implemented by  $\mathcal{J}$ , but its milder version is:  $\pi_R(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}\pi_L(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ .
- The presence of the real structure implies the reality of  $M_l$  and  $M_q$ .
- One generation: fermion masses are real.

## CP violation and reality of the spectral triple

- The usual 0th order condition is not implemented by  $\mathcal{J}$ , but its milder version is:  $\pi_R(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}\pi_L(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ .
- The presence of the real structure implies the reality of  $M_l$  and  $M_q$ .
- One generation: fermion masses are real.
- Three generations: both the Wolfenstein parameter  $\bar{\eta}$  and the CP-violating phase  $\delta_{CP}^\nu$  have to vanish.

# CP violation and reality of the spectral triple

- The usual 0th order condition is not implemented by  $\mathcal{J}$ , but its milder version is:  $\pi_R(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}\pi_L(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ .
- The presence of the real structure implies the reality of  $M_l$  and  $M_q$ .
- One generation: fermion masses are real.
- Three generations: both the Wolfenstein parameter  $\bar{\eta}$  and the CP-violating phase  $\delta_{CP}^\nu$  have to vanish.
- **CP-violation**  $\Leftrightarrow$  shadow of the  $\mathcal{J}$ -symmetry violation in the non-doubled spectral triple.



## Relation with twisted spectral triples

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{SM}$  satisfies the order one condition,
- $\mathcal{D}_{SM}$  satisfies its twisted version:

$$[[\mathcal{D}_{SM}, \pi_L(a)]_\beta, \pi_R(b)]_\beta = 0,$$

where  $[x, y]_\beta = xy - \beta y \beta^{-1} x$ .

## The next goal: spectral action

- (1) Describe gauge transformations
- (2) Find fluctuated Dirac operator
- (3) Compute the spectral action

# Gauge transformations

- $U_{LR} := \pi_L(U)\pi_R(U)$  for  $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ .
- They form a group  $(U(1) \times SU(2) \times U(3))/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .

# Gauge transformations

- $U_{LR} := \pi_L(U)\pi_R(U)$  for  $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ .
- They form a group  $(U(1) \times SU(2) \times U(3))/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .
- To have  $SU(3)$  rather than  $U(3)$  one could impose unimodularity condition.

# Gauge transformations

- $U_{LR} := \pi_L(U)\pi_R(U)$  for  $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ .
- They form a group  $(U(1) \times SU(2) \times U(3))/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .
- To have  $SU(3)$  rather than  $U(3)$  one could impose unimodularity condition.
- The left action is already unimodular.
- The unimodularity for the right action could be imposed either on each fundamental component or in the full representation.

# Unimodularity

- In the first case:  $u_1 \det u_3 = 1$  and the gauge group of the Standard Model  $(U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)) / (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$
- In the second case:  $(u_1 \det u_3)^{12} = 1$  and the group differs by a finite factor.

# Fluctuated Dirac operator

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{SM}^\omega = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{SM} + \omega$  with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega = & A_\mu \mathbf{e}_{11} \otimes \sigma^\mu \otimes (1_4 - \mathbf{e}_{11}) - 2A_\mu \mathbf{e}_{22} \otimes \sigma^\mu \otimes \mathbf{e}_{11} \\
 & - A_\mu \mathbf{e}_{22} \otimes \sigma^\mu \otimes (1_4 - \mathbf{e}_{11}) - A_\mu (\mathbf{e}_{33} + \mathbf{e}_{44}) \otimes \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \otimes \mathbf{e}_{11} \\
 & + \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & \\ & W_\mu \end{pmatrix} \otimes \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \otimes 1_4 + \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & \\ & 0_2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^\mu \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0_1 & \\ & G_\mu \end{pmatrix} \\
 & + \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0_1 & \\ & G_\mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} & M_l \Phi \\ \Phi^\dagger M_l^\dagger & \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_{11} \\
 & + \begin{pmatrix} & M_q \Phi \\ \Phi^\dagger M_q^\dagger & \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_2 \otimes (1_4 - \mathbf{e}_{11}).
 \end{aligned}$$

## Physical parametrization (for one generation)

- Since  $\Phi \in \mathbb{H}$  we can write  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & \phi_2 \\ -\overline{\phi_2} & \overline{\phi_1} \end{pmatrix}$ .
- Define  $\Phi_x := M_x(1_2 + \Phi)$ , for  $x = l, q$ .
- The Higgs doublet  $H := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$



# Simplified models

We consider two simplified versions of the full model:

- Static and spatial model,
- Wick rotated model.

## Static and spatial model

We consider time-independent and spatial part of the Dirac operator.

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_L &= i \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & \\ & -1_2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^j \partial_j + \begin{pmatrix} & \Phi_l \\ \Phi_l^\dagger & \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_2 \\ &+ A_j \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^3 - 1_2 & \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^j - \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & \\ & W_j \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^j. \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_Q &= i \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & \\ & -1_2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^j \partial_j \otimes 1_3 + \begin{pmatrix} & \Phi_q \\ \Phi_q^\dagger & \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_2 \otimes 1_3 \\ &+ A_j \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{3} 1_2 & \\ & -\frac{1}{3} 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^j \otimes 1_3 \\ &- \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & \\ & W_j \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^j \otimes 1_3 + \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & \\ & -1_2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^j \otimes G_j.\end{aligned}$$

## Static and spatial model

- The physical values of hypercharges are reproduced (in quark sector: because of the unimodularity condition).

## Static and spatial model

- The physical values of hypercharges are reproduced (in quark sector: because of the unimodularity condition).
- Gilkey-Seeley-DeWitt coefficients for three generations:

$$a_2 = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} a \int d^4x |H|^2,$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \left[ b|H|^4 + a\text{Tr}|D_j H|^2 + \frac{20}{3}F^2 + 2\text{Tr}W^2 + 2\text{Tr}G^2 \right],$$

where

$$a = \text{Tr}(\Upsilon_\nu^\dagger \Upsilon_\nu) + \text{Tr}(\Upsilon_e^\dagger \Upsilon_e) + 3\text{Tr}(\Upsilon_u^\dagger \Upsilon_u) + 3\text{Tr}(\Upsilon_d^\dagger \Upsilon_d),$$

$$b = \text{Tr}(\Upsilon_\nu^\dagger \Upsilon_\nu)^2 + \text{Tr}(\Upsilon_e^\dagger \Upsilon_e)^2 + 3\text{Tr}(\Upsilon_u^\dagger \Upsilon_u)^2 + 3\text{Tr}(\Upsilon_d^\dagger \Upsilon_d)^2.$$

## Static and spatial model

- Effective Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}}$ , where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = \frac{bf(0)}{2\pi^2}|H|^4 - \frac{2f_2\Lambda^2 a}{\pi^2}|H|^2 + \frac{af(0)}{2\pi^2}\text{Tr}|D_j H|^2,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{f(0)}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{10}{3}F^2 + \text{Tr}W^2 + \text{Tr}G^2 \right).$$

- This result is consistent with taking the static part of the Lorentzian Lagrangian for the Standard Model.
- All the relations between the coefficients of the model are exactly the same as in the usual almost-commutative Euclidean formulation. Therefore, the measurable quantities will have the same values.

# Wick rotated model - leptonic sector

We take the Lorentzian Dirac operator:

$$\mathcal{D}_L = i \begin{pmatrix} & \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \\ \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \sigma^\mu & \end{pmatrix} \partial_\mu + A_\mu \begin{pmatrix} & -\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \\ (\sigma^3 - \mathbf{1}_2) \otimes \sigma^\mu & \end{pmatrix} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} & W_\mu \otimes \tilde{\sigma}^\mu \\ \mathbf{0}_4 & \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_l^\dagger & \\ & \Phi_l \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2.$$

and Wick rotate it ( $\sigma^j \rightarrow i\sigma^j$ ):

$$\mathcal{D}_{L,w} = i \begin{pmatrix} & \mathbf{1}_2 \\ \mathbf{1}_2 & \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \partial_0 + i \begin{pmatrix} & -i\mathbf{1}_2 \\ i\mathbf{1}_2 & \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^j \partial_j \\ + A_0 \begin{pmatrix} & -\mathbf{1}_2 \\ (\sigma^3 - \mathbf{1}_2) & \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 + A_j \begin{pmatrix} & i\mathbf{1}_2 \\ i(\sigma^3 - \mathbf{1}_2) & \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^j \\ + \begin{pmatrix} & W_0 \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 - \begin{pmatrix} & iW_j \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & \end{pmatrix} \otimes \sigma^j + \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_l^\dagger & \\ & \Phi_l \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2$$

## Wick rotated model: results

- We repeat this procedure for the quark sector.
- Next, we compute  $\mathcal{D}_w^\dagger \mathcal{D}_w$  for the full Wick rotated Dirac operator.
- The Gilkey-Seeley-DeWitt coefficients:

$$a_2 = \frac{3}{4\pi^2} a \int d^4x |H|^2,$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \left[ b|H|^4 - a \text{Tr} |D_\mu|^2 + \frac{20}{3} F^2 + 2 \text{Tr}(W^2) + 2 \text{Tr}(G^2) \right. \\ \left. + 12 \varepsilon^{ijkl} F_{jk} F_{0l} - 6 \varepsilon^{ijkl} \text{Tr}(W_{jk} W_{0l}) \right]$$

## Wick rotated model: results

- The resulting Euclidean action reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{f(0)}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{10}{3} F^2 + \text{Tr}(W^2) + \text{Tr}(G^2) \right. \\ \left. + 6\varepsilon^{jkl} F_{jk} F_{0l} - 3\varepsilon^{jkl} \text{Tr}(W_{jk} W_{0l}) \right),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_H = \frac{bf(0)}{2\pi^2} |H|^4 + \frac{6f_2\Lambda^2}{\pi^2} a |H|^2 - \frac{af(0)}{2\pi^2} \text{Tr} |D_\mu H|^2$$



## Wick rotated model: results

- The resulting Euclidean action reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{f(0)}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{10}{3} F^2 + \text{Tr}(W^2) + \text{Tr}(G^2) \right. \\ \left. + 6\varepsilon^{jkl} F_{jk} F_{0l} - 3\varepsilon^{jkl} \text{Tr}(W_{jk} W_{0l}) \right),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_H = \frac{bf(0)}{2\pi^2} |H|^4 + \frac{6f_2\Lambda^2}{\pi^2} a |H|^2 - \frac{af(0)}{2\pi^2} \text{Tr} |D_\mu H|^2$$

- **Spectral action** reproduces the action of the Lorentzian Standard Model with an additional electroweak “ $\theta$ -term”.

## Wick rotated model: results

- The resulting Euclidean action reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{f(0)}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{10}{3} F^2 + \text{Tr}(W^2) + \text{Tr}(G^2) \right. \\ \left. + 6\varepsilon^{jkl} F_{jk} F_{0l} - 3\varepsilon^{jkl} \text{Tr}(W_{jk} W_{0l}) \right),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_H = \frac{bf(0)}{2\pi^2} |H|^4 + \frac{6f_2\Lambda^2}{\pi^2} a |H|^2 - \frac{af(0)}{2\pi^2} \text{Tr} |D_\mu H|^2$$

- **Spectral action** reproduces the action of the Lorentzian Standard Model with an additional electroweak “ $\theta$ -term”.
- Potentially different coefficients do not finally affect the numerical values of the measurable parameters.

# Summary

- No fermion doubling.
- No  $SU(3)$  breaking.
- Order-one condition holds.
- Lack of real structure  $\rightarrow$  **CP violation**.
- Spectral triple obeys the Morita condition of  $\text{spin}_c$  geometry.
- The potentially interesting topological terms appears.

Thank you for your attention!