QCD lecture 10

November 30

Functional integral for scalar field

One can easily translate the QM functional formalism to QFT with the help of the following correspondence

q(t)	\longleftrightarrow	$\phi(\mathbf{x})$
p(t)	\longleftrightarrow	$\Pi(\mathbf{x})$
j(t)	\longleftrightarrow	$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x})$

and the analogue of the generating functional reads

$$Z[j] = \int \left[D\Pi(x) D\phi(x) \right]$$

$$\times \exp\left\{ i \int d^4x \left(\Pi(x) \dot{\phi}(x) - (1 - i0^+) \mathcal{H}(\Pi, \phi) + j(x) \phi(x) \right) \right\}$$

The hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\Pi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi) \cdot (\nabla\phi) + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + V(\phi)$$

and can be obtained from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^3 x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi(x)) (\partial^{\mu} \phi(x)) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2(x) \right\}$$

Functional integral for scalar field

Since the hamiltonian is quadratic in Π we can perform Gaussian integral

$$Z[j] = \int \left[D\varphi(x) \right] \ \exp\left\{ i \int d^4x \ \left(\mathcal{L}(\varphi) + j(x)\varphi(x) \right) \right\}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} (1 + i0^+) \dot{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} (1 - i0^+) \left((\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\varphi}) + m^2 \boldsymbol{\varphi}^2 \right) - (1 - i0^+) V(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$$

Note that $1 - i0^+$ in front of V plays no role if interaction vanishes for large times. Then

$$Z[j] = \exp\left\{-i\int d^4x V\left(\frac{\delta}{i\delta j(x)}\right)\right\} Z_0[j]$$

where

$$Z_{0}[j] \equiv \int \left[D\varphi(x) \right] \exp \left\{ i \int d^{4}x \left(\mathcal{L}_{0}(\varphi) + j(x)\varphi(x) \right) \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{0}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2}(1+\mathfrak{i}0^{+})\dot{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}(1-\mathfrak{i}0^{+})\big((\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\varphi})\cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\varphi}) + \mathfrak{m}^{2}\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{2}\big)$$

Fermions and Grassmann variables

Hermann Günther Grassmann (1809 Szczecin – 1877 Szczecin)

Fermion fields anticommute. How to take this into account in functional integral? Introduce Grassmann variables:

$$\psi_i \ (i = 1 \cdots N)$$

$$\{\psi_i,\psi_j\}=0$$

Linear space spanned by ψ_i 's is called Grassmann algebra Consider first N = 1 $\psi^2 = 0$

any function has a form $f(\psi) = a + \psi b$ where *a* is a number and $\{b, b\} = \{b, \psi\} = 0$

SO
$$f(\psi) = a + \psi b = a - b\psi$$

We have to define left and right derivatives $\vec{\partial}_{\psi} f(\psi) = b$, $f(\psi) \overleftarrow{\partial}_{\psi} = -b$ Berezin integral: $\int d\psi \ \alpha f(\psi) = \alpha \int d\psi \ f(\psi)$ and $\int d\psi \ \partial_{\psi} f(\psi) = 0$ The only solution consistent with these requirements $\int d\psi \ f(\psi) = b$

Fermions and Grassmann variables

Hermann Günther Grassmann (1809 Szczecin – 1877 Szczecin)

Fermion fields anticommute. How to take this into account in functional integral? Introduce Grassmann variables:

$$\psi_i \ (i = 1 \cdots N)$$

$$\left\{\psi_i,\psi_j\right\}=0$$

Linear space spanned by ψ_i 's is called Grassmann algebra Consider first N = 1 $\psi^2 = 0$

any function has a form $f(\psi) = a + \psi b$ where *a* is a number and $\{b, b\} = \{b, \psi\} = 0$

SO
$$f(\psi) = a + \psi b = a - b\psi$$

We have to define left and right derivatives $\vec{\partial}_{\psi} f(\psi) = b$, $f(\psi) \overleftarrow{\partial}_{\psi} = -b$ Berezin integral: $\int d\psi \ \alpha f(\psi) = \alpha \int d\psi \ f(\psi)$ and $\int d\psi \ \partial_{\psi} f(\psi) = 0$ The only solution consistent with these requirements $\int d\psi \ f(\psi) = b$ $\int d\psi \ \psi = 1$

Functions of Grassmann variables

Consider now *N* Grassmann variables $\Psi \equiv (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N) \quad \{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = 0$ The most general function: $f(\Psi) = \sum_{p=0}^{N} \frac{1}{p!} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \cdots \psi_{i_p} C_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p}$

Only linear terms in each variable are possible. Note that it must be $C_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \equiv \gamma \varepsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N}$

alternatively
$$\frac{1}{N!} \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_N} \gamma \varepsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N} = \psi_1 \cdots \psi_N \gamma$$

For consitency with previous definition $\int d^N \psi f(\psi) = \gamma$

Terms where at least one variable is missing do not contribute to the integral because

Integration measure $d^{N}\psi \equiv d\psi_{N} d\psi_{N-1} \cdots d\psi_{1}$ assures that $\int d\psi \ 1 = 0$

$$\int d^{N}\psi \psi_{1}\cdots\psi_{N} = \int d\psi_{N}\cdots\left(\int d\psi_{2}\left(\underbrace{\int d\psi_{1} \psi_{1}}_{1}\right)\psi_{2}\right)\cdots\psi_{N} = 1$$

Change of variables

Consider $\psi_i \equiv J_{ij} \theta_j$ where $\theta_1 \cdots \theta_N$ are also Grassmann variables Last term of the function $f(\psi)$

$$\begin{split} \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_N} \ \varepsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \ \gamma &= (J_{i_1 j_1} \theta_{j_1}) \cdots (J_{i_N j_N} \theta_{j_N}) \ \varepsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \ \gamma \\ &= \det (J) \ \theta_{j_1} \cdots \theta_{j_N} \ \varepsilon_{j_1 \cdots j_N} \ \gamma \ . \end{split}$$

From this we conclude

$$\underbrace{\int d^{N}\psi f(\psi)}_{\gamma} = \left[\det \left(J\right)\right]^{-1} \underbrace{\int d^{N}\theta f(\psi(\theta))}_{\det \left(J\right) \gamma}$$
(same as for scalar integral)

Gaussian integral

Consider

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} \equiv (\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_N) \quad \left\{ \psi_i, \psi_j \right\} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

$$I(\mathbf{M}) \equiv \int d^{N} \psi \, \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \psi_{i} \mathcal{M}_{ij} \psi_{j}\right)$$

where *M* is $N \times N$ antisymmetric numeric matrix (real or complex). Such integral is non-zero only if *N* is even. For N = 2 $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu \\ -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and
$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\psi_i M_{ij}\psi_j\right) = 1 + \mu \psi_1 \psi_2$$

Hence:
$$I(M) = \mu = [det(M)]^{1/2}$$

For general even N we can always "diagonalize" **M** by special orthogonal matrix

$$M = Q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_1 & & \\ -\mu_1 & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & \mu_2 & \\ & & -\mu_2 & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} Q^{\mathsf{T}} \qquad \text{Define} \quad Q^{\mathsf{T}} \psi \equiv \theta,$$

Gaussian integral

After change of variables we get

$$I(\mathbf{M}) = \left[\det\left(\mathbf{Q}\right)\right]^{-1} \underbrace{\int d^{\mathsf{N}}\theta \, \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \, \theta^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{D}\theta\right)}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\cdots = \left[\det\left(\mathbf{D}\right)\right]^{1/2}}$$

But $\det(\mathbf{Q}) = +1$ and we have

$$I(\mathbf{M}) = \left[\det\left(\mathbf{D}\right)\right]^{1/2} = \left[\det\left(\mathbf{M}\right)\right]^{1/2}$$

This is inverse with respect to the Gaussian integral for ordinary variables

We will also need integrals with Grassmann sources $\eta_{
m i}$

$$I(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{\eta}) \equiv \int d^{N} \psi \, \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \psi_{i} M_{ij} \psi_{j} + \eta_{i} \psi_{i}\right)$$

Changing variables

$$\psi_i' \equiv \psi_i - M_{ij}^{-1} \eta_j$$

we obtain $I(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{\eta}) = \left[\det(\mathbf{M})\right]^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{\eta}\right)$

Gaussian integral for 2N variables

Consider $J(\mathbf{M}) \equiv \int d^{N} \xi d^{N} \psi \exp(\psi_{i} M_{ij} \xi_{j})$ where ψ and ξ are independent

Then (exercise) J(M) = det(M)

Complex Grassmann variables

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Define} & \chi \equiv \frac{\psi + i\xi}{\sqrt{2}} &, & \overline{\chi} \equiv \frac{\psi - i\xi}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{and inverse} & \psi = \frac{\overline{\chi} + \chi}{\sqrt{2}} &, & \xi = \frac{i\left(\overline{\chi} - \chi\right)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \text{Integrations} & & d\xi d\psi = i \, d\chi d\overline{\chi} \,, \\ & & \psi \xi = -i \, \overline{\chi} \chi \,, \\ & & \int d\chi d\overline{\chi} \, \overline{\chi} \chi = \int d\xi d\psi \, \psi \xi = 1 & \text{which leads to} & \int d\chi d\overline{\chi} \, \exp\left(\mu \, \overline{\chi} \chi\right) = \mu \\ \text{or generally} & & \int d\chi_{N} \, d\overline{\chi}_{N} \, \cdots \, d\chi_{1} \, d\overline{\chi}_{1} \, \exp\left(\overline{\chi}^{\mathsf{T}} M \chi\right) = \det\left(M\right) \\ \text{with sources} & & \int d\chi_{N} \, d\overline{\chi}_{N} \, \cdots \, d\chi_{1} \, d\overline{\chi}_{1} \, \exp\left(\overline{\chi}^{\mathsf{T}} M \chi + \overline{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}} \chi + \overline{\chi}^{\mathsf{T}} \eta\right) = \det\left(M\right) \, \exp\left(-\overline{\eta}^{\mathsf{T}} M^{-1} \eta\right) \end{array}$

Functional integral for fermions

The functional integral reads

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Z}_0[\overline{\eta},\eta] &= \int \left[\mathsf{D}\psi(x)\mathsf{D}\overline{\psi}(x) \right] \, \exp\left\{ i \int d^4x \big(\overline{\psi}(x)(i\partial\!\!\!/ - \mathfrak{m})\psi(x) \right. \\ & \left. + \overline{\eta}(x)\psi(x) + \overline{\psi}(x)\eta(x) \big) \right\} \end{split}$$

Fermionic symmetries

Consider a set of fermion fields $\psi(x)$ (with components $\psi_n(x)$) interacting with a gauge potential $A^a_\mu(x)$

At this moment we do not specify the meaning of index *n*

- it can be color index
- it can be flavor (up, down, strange ...)
- it can be spinor index
- or some combination of the above

What is important is the unitary transformation of these fields

 $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$

Dirac conjugate: $\overline{\psi}(x) \equiv \psi^{\dagger}(x)\gamma^{0} \rightarrow \psi^{\dagger}(x)U^{\dagger}(x)\gamma^{0} = \overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{0}U^{\dagger}(x)\gamma^{0}$

These matrices have both fermionic and space-time indices: ${}^{\mathcal{U}}$

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{m},\mathbf{y}\mathbf{n}} \equiv \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}) \; \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \; , \\ &\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{m},\mathbf{y}\mathbf{n}} \equiv (\gamma^{0}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\gamma^{0})_{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{n}} \; \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \end{split}$$

Fermionic symmetries

EXAMPLE:

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{\mathbf{i}\alpha(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{t}}$$

where $\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and t is hermitean matrix (generator) that carries no spinor indices.

Then multiplication is understood as (remember that $(\gamma^0)^2 = 1$):

$$\begin{split} (\overline{\mathcal{U}}\mathcal{U})_{xm,yn} &= \int d^4z \, \sum_p \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{xm,zp} \, \mathcal{U}_{zp,yn} \\ &= \int d^4z \, \delta(x-z) \delta(z-y) \sum_p \left(e^{-i\alpha(z)t} \right)_{mp} \, \left(e^{i\alpha(z)t} \right)_{pn} \\ &= \delta_{mn} \delta(x-y) \, . \end{split}$$

This means that $\overline{u}u = 1$ which implies that $\det u \det \overline{u} = 1$

Since under such transformation the Grassmann integration measure changes as

$$\left[\mathsf{D}\psi\mathsf{D}\overline{\psi} \right] \to \frac{1}{\det\left(\mathcal{U}\right)\,\det\left(\overline{\mathcal{U}}\right)}\,\left[\mathsf{D}\psi\mathsf{D}\overline{\psi} \right]$$

the measure remains invariant for this kind of unitary transformations.

Chiral transformations

Recall free Dirac equation: $(i\partial - m)\psi = 0$

and choose chiral representations for Dirac matrices

$$\gamma^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \gamma^{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{i} \\ -\sigma_{i} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \gamma_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\gamma_5 = i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$

Then Dirac equation can be rewritten as a set of two interconnected equations

$$(i\partial_t - i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\psi_L - m\psi_R = 0, \qquad (i\partial_t + i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\psi_R - m\psi_L = 0,$$

where a four component bispinor has been decomposed into two two component Weyl spinors $(1 + \alpha^5)$ $(1 - \alpha^5)$

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{bmatrix} \text{ Note that: } \psi_{R} \equiv \left(\frac{1+\gamma^5}{2}\right) \psi \quad , \qquad \psi_{L} \equiv \left(\frac{1-\gamma^5}{2}\right) \psi$$

For massless fermions (or very small masses) left and right components are independent: chiral symmetry

Chiral transformations

Consider $U(x) = e^{i\alpha(x)\gamma^5 t}$

and recall properies of γ^5

$$(\gamma^{5})^{2} = 1,$$

 $\gamma^{5 \dagger} = \gamma^{5},$
 $\{\gamma^{5}, \gamma^{0}\} = 0$

which imply
$$\gamma^0 U^{\dagger}(x) \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 e^{-i\alpha(x)\gamma^5 t} \gamma^0 = e^{i\alpha(x)\gamma^5 t} = U(x)$$

 $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U}$

$$\det \mathcal{U} = \det \overline{\mathcal{U}}$$

and the integration measure is not invariant:

$$\left[\mathsf{D} \psi \mathsf{D} \overline{\psi} \right] \to \frac{1}{(\det \mathfrak{U})^2} \left[\mathsf{D} \psi \mathsf{D} \overline{\psi} \right]$$

This leads to chiral anomaly, as discussed previously within the framework of perturbation theory.

Chiral anomaly

We need to calculate $\frac{1}{(\det \mathcal{U})^2}$ for $U(x) = e^{i\alpha(x)\gamma^5 t}$

Consider infintensimal transformation

$$(\mathcal{U}-1)_{xm,yn} = \mathfrak{i} \alpha(x) (\gamma^5 t)_{mn} \delta(x-y)$$

and use $(\det \mathcal{U})^{-2} = e^{-2 \operatorname{tr} \ln \mathcal{U}}$ $\det \mathcal{U} = \prod_{i} \lambda_{i} = \exp\left(\sum_{i} \ln \lambda_{i}\right) = e^{\operatorname{tr} \ln \mathcal{U}}$

This is very handy formula, since we can expand easily a logarithm for small U

$$(\det \mathcal{U})^{-2} = \exp \left[-2 \operatorname{tr} \ln \left(1 + i\alpha(x) \gamma^5 t \,\delta(x - y)\right)\right] \\ \approx \exp \left[-2 \operatorname{i} \operatorname{tr} \left(\alpha(x) \gamma^5 t \,\delta(x - y)\right)\right] \\ = \exp \left[i \int d^4 x \,\alpha(x) \mathcal{A}(x)\right] ,$$

Note that trace is both for Dirac indices and for fermion species (t) and space-time. In the last step we have introduced anomaly function, which is poorly fefined

$$\mathcal{A}(x) \equiv -2 \operatorname{tr} \left(\gamma^5 t \right) \delta(x-x)$$

Diggression

Consider matrix
$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha ax,\beta by} = A_{\alpha a,\beta b} \delta^{(4)}(x-y)$$

with indices $\alpha, \beta - \text{spinor}$
 $a, b - \text{flavor}$
 $x, y - \text{space-time}$

Then

$$\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{a,b} \int d^4 x d^4 y \mathcal{A}_{\alpha a x,\beta b y} \,\delta_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{a b} \delta^{(4)}(x-y)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{a} \int d^4 x \mathcal{A}_{\alpha a x,\alpha a x} = \int d^4 x \operatorname{tr}(A) \,\delta^{(4)}(x-x)$$

Chiral anomaly

Change of integration measure under chiral transformation

 $\left[\mathsf{D}\psi\mathsf{D}\overline{\psi}\right] \to e^{i\int d^4x \ \alpha(x)\mathcal{A}(x)} \left[\mathsf{D}\psi\mathsf{D}\overline{\psi}\right]$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv -2\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{5}\mathbf{t}\right)\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x})$$

Note: tr gives zero and δ gives infinity.

We need to properly define this by some regularization. Before doing that, let's incorporate anomaly into the lagrangian (under functional integral):

 $\mathcal{L}(x) \to \mathcal{L}(x) + \alpha(x) \mathcal{A}(x)$

This looks like the lagrangian itself was not invariant under chiral transformation.