Living at the Edge: A large deviations approach to the MIMO Outage Capacity

Aris Moustakas P. Kazakopoulos, P. Mertikopoulos (Univ. Athens) & G. Caire (USC)



Introduction

- 4G Wireless Communications: High rates, very mobile, network-centric
- Multi-antenna arrays are standard on both terminals and base-stations
 - How much information can you send?
 - Depends on many things...
 - Randomness
 - Mobility
 - Coding
 - Many regimes have analyzed analytically, numerically
 - Tails of distribution?
 - Important for real applications and intuition
- Use of Coulomb gas method to analyze tails
 - (Majumdar *et al*)





$$\mathbf{r}_{\alpha} = \sum_{i} \mathbf{G}_{\alpha i} \mathbf{t}_{i} + \mathbf{\eta}_{a} \quad \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{t} + \mathbf{\eta}$$

- Noise η : uncorrelated ~CN(0,1)
- Scattering creates spatiotemporal fluctuations
- G: random N x M channel matrix: i.i.d. ~ CN(0,1/N)
 - Assume $\beta=M/N>=1$



Mutual Information

$$I_{N} = \log \det \left[\mathbf{I} + \rho \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^{+} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \log \left(1 + \rho \lambda_{k} \right)$$

- I_N Mutual Information also random
- Strategy for fast fading channel **G** (e.g. racing car):
 - Transmit message with ergodic rate

$$R_{erg} = E \left[\log \det \left(\mathbf{I} + \rho \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^+ \right) \right]_G$$

- "heroic coding" (message lasts long enough to ride over all G-waves)
- Strategy for slowly fading channel G :
 - Transmit with rate R and hope for the best (sometimes you loose)
 - Define outage criterion for given rate R

$$p_{out}(R) = \Pr(I_N < R) = \int_0^R dx E[\delta(x - I_N)]_G$$

- Calculate Statistics:
 - What is mean, variance etc?



Asymptotic Approaches



Two parameters involved

- Large N :
 - Make large antenna arrays

Large S :
 – Increase ρ



- Calculate Mean (Ergodic) Mutual Information
 - All you need for fast fading.
 - For large N capacity per antenna I_N / N becomes a deterministic quantity
 - Randomness subleading in N
 - Underlying Idea:
 - Empirical distribution of eigenvalues hardens to deterministic function

$$p_{MP}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}}{2\pi x} \qquad a,b = \left(\sqrt{\beta} \pm 1\right)^2$$

• Ergodic capacity = average over MP distribution

$$R_{erg} = E[I_N] = N \int_a^b dx P_{MP}(x) \log(1 + \rho x)$$

Shannon Transform

$$r({\mathbf{X}}) = E\left[\frac{1}{N}Tr(\log{\{\mathbf{I} + \rho\mathbf{X}\}})\right]$$

- For given "channel" distribution produces the (normalized) mutual information



- However...
- I_N does not harden
 - Fluctuations of O(1) important, especially for finite N
- "Central Limit Theorem"
 - For N large (but finite) and R "close" to the ergodic MI
 - Actually for |R-Rerg| fixed and large N

$$P(R) = \frac{e^{-\frac{(R-R_{erg})^2}{2\sigma(\rho)^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma(\rho)}$$

- Many ways to skin this cat (all essentially moment based)
- Calculation of $\sigma(\rho)$ has to do with fluctuations of eigenvalues "close" to the mean
- Higher moments vanish O(1/N)



Asymptotics in *p*

- But...
- In practice need tails of mutual information distribution
 - Low outage probabilities for better fidelity
 - Need to address tail distribution
 - One way: Large SNR
 - Large ρ focuses on the tails of the eigenvalue distribution (low outage)
 - Scale quantities properly: $R = q \log \rho$ with $q \leq N$
 - Surprising result: As $\rho \rightarrow \infty$
 - Outage Probability be comes

$$\lim_{\rho \to \infty} \frac{\log P_{out}(q \log \rho)}{\log \rho} = -d(q)$$

- where d(q) piecewise linear function of rate variable q with endpoints

$$d_k = (M - k)(N - k)$$

 $k = 0,..., N$



Asymptotics in ρ



- Example 5x4, 4x4, 4x3
 - Piecewise linear behavior of the diversity exponent
- Pros:
 - Very intuitive result
 - Diversity Multiplexing Tradeoff
 - Prompted design of codes that have this exact structure (for large ρ)
 - Applicable to a huge number of applications
- Cons:
 - Scale of y-axis $(\exp(20) = 100 \text{dB }!!)$
 - Extremely small outages
 - Not clear how large SNR necessary
 - Original Zheng-Tse paper never mentioned any scales
 - No prediction for $O((\log \rho)^0)$ term
 - Case of $R > R_{erg}$? CCDF(R)?



Comparison

- How do Gaussian and large ρ approaches compare?
 - Look in regions where both are valid (large ρ and large N)
- Case M=N (β =1)
 - Gaussian approximation:

$$E[I_N] = R_{erg} \approx N \log \rho$$
$$Var[I_N] \approx \log \sqrt{\rho}$$

• Thus

$$\log P_{out,Gaussian} \approx -\frac{(N\log\rho - R)^2}{\log\rho}$$

- DMT (large ρ) approximation:

$$\log P_{out} \approx -\log \rho \left(N - \frac{R}{\log \rho} \right)^2 = -\frac{\left(N \log \rho - R \right)^2}{\log \rho}$$

– So far so good...



Comparison

- How do Gaussian and large ρ approaches compare?
 - Look in regions where both are valid (large ρ and large N)
- Case M>N (β >1)
 - Gaussian approximation:

$$E[I_N] = R_{erg} \approx N \log \rho$$
$$Var[I_N] \approx \log\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}\right)$$

• Thus

$$\log P_{out,Gaussian} \approx -\frac{(N\log\rho - R)^2}{2\log\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}\right)}$$

- DMT (large ρ) approximation:

$$\log P_{out} \approx -\frac{(N\log\rho - R)(M\log\rho - R)}{\log\rho}$$



Comparison

- $\lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \neq \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{\rho \to \infty}$
- For large SNR Gaussian approximation VERY wrong
- Why?
 - Gaussian approximation assumes freezing of eigenvalues of GG'
 - For large SNR the outage probability focuses on probability of eigenvalues close to zero $(1/\rho)$
- Can we get a unified behavior (working well for small and large SNR)?
- Good news:
 - Both asymptotics have identical scaling with N

$$\log P_{out} \approx -N^2 \log \rho \left(\beta - \frac{R}{N \log \rho}\right) \left(1 - \frac{R}{N \log \rho}\right)$$
$$\log P_{out,Gaussian} \approx -\frac{\left(N \log \rho - R\right)^2}{2 \left|\log(1 - \beta^{-1})\right|} = O(N^2)$$

• Extend large N method to capture rare events



• Joint probability distribution of eigenvalues of GG'

$$P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_N) \propto \exp\left[-N\sum_k \lambda_k + (M - N)\sum_k \log \lambda_k + 2\sum_{k>m} \log |\lambda_k - \lambda_m|\right]$$

- Exponent is energy of point charges repelling logarithmically in the presence of external field
 - Most probable configuration of λ 's corresponds to minimum energy $P \propto e^{-N^2 S[p]}$
- Extend argument to large number of charges (Use of Dyson conjecture)
 - N plays role of temperature

$$S = \int dx \ p(x)V_{eff}(x) + \int \int dxdy \ p(y)p(x)\log|x-y|$$
$$V_{eff}(x) = x + (\beta - 1)\log x$$

- (Existence of another term to set normalization contraint)
- Minimizing S w.r.t p(x) gives the Marcenko Pastur distribution

$$p_{MP}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}}{2\pi x}$$



• To calculate P(r) need to impose constraint

$$P(r) = E\left[\delta\left(Nr - \sum_{k}\log(1 + \rho\lambda_{k})\right)\right]$$

- Fourier transform (or use large deviations arguments Lagrange multiplier) $S \rightarrow S - k \int dx \ p(x) (\log(1 + \rho x) - r)$ $V_{eff}(x) \rightarrow V_{eff}(x) - k \log(1 + \rho x)$
- k plays role of strength of logarithmic attraction/repulsion at $x_0 = -\rho^{-1}$
 - k>0 shifts charge density to larger values (R>Rerg), k<0 to smaller ones (R<Rerg)
- Minimizing S w.r.t p(x) gives the *generalized* Marcenko Pastur distribution



Coulomb – Gas Analogy

- Qualitative features of solution $\beta > 1$ from V(x)
 - Minimum always at x>0, irrespective of sign of k





- Qualitative features of solution $\beta=1$
 - For k>kc>0 minimum shifts from x=0 to x>0





- Use of Tricomi theorem to calculate p(x) and closed form expr. for energy S[p]
- Case $\beta > 1$

$$p(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}}{2\pi x(1+\rho x)} \left(\rho x + \frac{\beta - 1}{\sqrt{ab}}\right)$$

- a, b, k calculated from

$$p(b) = p(a) = 0$$

$$r = \int_{a}^{b} dx p(x) \log(1 + \rho x)$$

$$1 = \int_{a}^{b} dx p(x)$$

- Case $\beta = 1$ - $r > r_c(\rho) > r_{erg}(\rho)$ - $r < r_c(\rho)$ $p(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}}{2\pi(\rho^{-1}+x)}$ $p(x) = \frac{\sqrt{b-x}}{2\pi(1+\rho x)} \left(1+\rho x - \frac{k\rho}{\sqrt{1+\rho b}}\right)$
 - 3rd order phase transition (Vivo, Majumdar *et al*)



• Examples





• Examples





- Limit k=0 gives Gaussian approximation
 - Not surprising: finite k gives the MGF of mutual information
 - As usual CLT can be derived from small k-behavior of MGF
 - k **IS** the replica number
- Skewness??
 - $\beta=1 \text{large } \rho$: "Gaussian"
 - $\beta > 1 \text{large } \rho$: NOT Gaussian
 - Small ρ: NOT Gaussian



• Small p:







• Large ρ 3x6

- Compare LD, DMT, Gaussian



- Large ρ 3x3
 - Compare LD, DMT, Gaussian
 - DMT linear behavior visible
 - O(1) term in DMT significant
 - LD robust



- Large ρ 6x6
 - Compare LD, DMT, Gaussian
 - DMT linear behavior less visible
 - O(1) term in DMT significant
 - LD robust



24

- $\beta = 1$ case
- Look at CCDF
- Relevant for scheduled transmission
 - Transmit to user with higher inst. rate
 - CCDF shows gains in trans rate
- Phase transition visible between
 - "Gaussian"

- New phase
$$r > r_c(\rho) > r_{erg}(\rho) \stackrel{\text{L}}{\otimes}$$

- 3rd order discontinuity



- Large r and p
 - By expanding S[p] for large ρ , fixed

$$q \approx \frac{r}{\log \rho}$$

$$\log P_{out} \approx -N^2 \log \rho (\beta - q)(1 - q)$$

- Correctly recover DMT outage behavior
- r=R/N<<1 gives $S = -\beta \log\left(\frac{eR}{M\rho}\right)$

$$P_{out} \approx \left(\frac{eR}{M\rho}\right)^{-MN}$$

- Intuition: all elements of GG* have to be less than R/ρ , i.e. all MN elements of G small







- Distribution of MIMO mutual information:
 - Large N, arbitrary SNR
 - Smooth transition between known approximations
 - Understanding of all interesting limiting cases
- Generalized Marcenko Pastur distributions:
 - Provides distributions of eigenvalues at the tails of the MI distribution
 - Fully characterized by information-theoretic quantities/parameters of system (R, N, β)
- Open Questions:
 - Correlated Channels?
 - Applications to other IT problems
 - Waterfilling solution statistics
 - MMSE SINR distribution

