Statistical analysis of punctures for Loop Black holes

Kinjalk Lochan, Cenalo Vaz

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India University of Cincinnati, USA

June 30, 2013

1 Cracow School of Theoretical Physics, LIII Course, 2013 Statistical analysis of puctures for LQGBH

I.Plan

- Punctures as Quantum Hair
- Canonical ensemble analysis
- Grand Canonical ensemble analysis
- Correction to the Area law
- Discussion

I. Introduction

- Black hole in LQG : Isolated horizon with punctures. [Eugenio's talk]
- Chern Simons theory on the horizon. Edges of spin network thread the horizon.
- Punctures contribute area elements to the horizon and construct the microstates accounting



for the entropy.

• Area of the horizon is an observable. Statistical analysis for area of the horizon.

I.Introduction

Microcanonical studies have been done GM, DL, ENP, ..., characterizing the horizon as

$$A = 8\pi\gamma l_P^2 \sum_P \sqrt{j_P(j_P+1)},$$

$$\sum_{P} m_{P} = 0.$$

and counting the number of such configurations

$$\Omega \sim \frac{e^{\lambda A}}{\sqrt{A}}$$

and

$$S \sim \lambda A - \frac{1}{2} \log A.$$

- However number of puctures can not be held fixed, horizon can exchange the number of area quanta with the bulk.
- Does this situation corresponds to entropy calculation of a photon gas?

I. Introduction: Punctures as Quantum hair

- Major Class. Quant. Grav. 17 (2000) Ghose and Perez Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011): Punctures as quantum hair.
- Chemical Potential associated with a puncture.
- Horizon as a gas of punctures.
- Microcanonical analysis suggests Bekenstein-Hawking area law recovered.
- Implications for subleading corrections ?

II. Canonical ensemble analysis

• We first fix a graph Γ and calculate the (*canonical*) partition function as first step

The partition function for this canonical ensemble is given as

$$Z_{\Gamma}(\beta, N) = \sum_{\{n_{j}m_{j}\}} \frac{N!}{\prod_{jm_{j}} n_{jm_{j}}!} \ \delta_{p,0} \ e^{-\beta \sum_{jm_{j}} n_{jm_{j}}a_{j}},$$

with

$$N = \sum_{j,m_j} n_{jm_j}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad 2 \sum_{j,m_j} n_{jm_j} m_j = p.$$

We use a suitable representation of the delta function to turn the partition function into

$$Z_{\Gamma}(\beta,N) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\{n_{jm_{j}}\}} \frac{N!}{\prod_{jm_{j}} n_{jm_{j}}!} \int_{\mathbf{0}}^{2\pi} \mathbf{dk} \mathbf{e}^{2\mathbf{ik}\sum_{jm_{j}} \mathbf{n}_{jm_{j}}\mathbf{m}_{j}} e^{-\beta\sum_{jm_{j}} n_{jm_{j}}a_{j}}$$

On simplification,

$$Z_{\Gamma}(\beta,N) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} dk \left(\sum_{jm_{j}} e^{(2ikm_{j} - \beta a_{j})} \right)^{N}$$

If we work with Flux area operator [Barbero, Lewandowski, Vilsenor], the Unitary representation of Area
operator [Livine], or the semiclassical limit

$$a_j = (j+1)$$
 $j \in \mathbb{N}$

6 Cracow School of Theoretical Physics, LIII Course, 2013 Statistical analysis of puctures for LQGBH

II.Canonical ensemble analysis

In this case

$$\begin{split} Z_{\Gamma}(\beta,N) &\approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} dk \left(\frac{1}{e^{2ik} - 1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma(l+1)} \{ e^{ik(l+2)} - e^{-ikl} \} \right)^{N} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \left(\frac{2\cos k - e^{-\sigma}}{e^{2\sigma} - 2e^{\sigma}\cos k + 1}, \right)^{N}, \end{split}$$

with

$$\sigma = 4\pi\gamma l_p^2\beta,$$

which can be evaluated in the thermodynamic limit N >> 1.

With a transformation

$$k = 2\tan^{-1}(x/2)$$

the partition function

$$Z_{\Gamma}(\beta,N) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{1}{2\pi(1+x^2/4)} \left(\frac{2\cos k(x) - e^{-\sigma}}{e^{2\sigma} - 2e^{\sigma}\cos k(x) + 1}\right)^{N}$$

II. Canonical ensemble analysis

Partition unction is a unimodal symmetric distribution



We would like it to approximate as accurately as possible.

Moment generating function for a (Non-normalized) Gaussian with a zero mean

$$C\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

is given by

$$M(t) = Ce^{\frac{t^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x - t\sigma^2)^2}{\sigma^2}}.$$

 $\bullet \quad \mbox{With the substitution } x - t \sigma^2 = x' \mbox{ we have }$

$$\begin{split} M(t) &= Ce^{\frac{t^2\sigma^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x')^2}{\sigma^2}}, \\ &= Ce^{\frac{t^2\sigma^2}{2}} \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2} = Af(i\sigma^2 t), \end{split}$$

where $f(x)=Ce^{-\displaystyle\frac{1}{2}\displaystyle\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}}$ and $A=\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}.$

• In a non-normalized gaussian distribution (with zero mean), the n - th moment is given by

$$\mu_n = \frac{C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}}}{C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}}}$$

Now,

$$\begin{split} M(t) &= C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{tx} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}} \\ &= C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (1 + tx + \frac{(tx)^2}{2!} + \ldots + \frac{(tx)^n}{n!} + \ldots) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}} \end{split}$$

Thus,

Now,

$$M^{(n)}(t)|_{0} = A(i\sigma^{2})^{n} f^{(n)}(i\sigma^{2}t)|_{0} = A(i\sigma^{2})^{n} f^{(n)}(0),$$
$$M(t)|_{0} = Af(i\sigma^{2}t)|_{0} = Af(0).$$

 $\mu_n = \frac{M^{(n)}(t)|_0}{M(t)|_0}.$

Therefore the n - th moment is

$$\mu_n = \frac{(i\sigma^2)^n f^{(n)}(0)}{f(0)}$$

Variance For second moment

$$\sigma^2 = -\sigma^4 \frac{f''(0)}{f(0)},$$
 therefore,
$$\sigma^2 = -\frac{f(0)}{f''(0)},$$

Kurtosis

The 4-th moment is again obtained as

$$\mu_4 = \frac{(i\sigma^2)^4 f^{(4)}(0)}{f(0)}.$$

Therefore the kurtosis is given by

$$\begin{split} \beta_2 &= \frac{\mu_4}{\sigma^4} = \frac{\left[\frac{(i\sigma^2)^4 f^{(4)}(0)}{f(0)}\right]}{\left[-\sigma^4 \frac{f^{\prime\prime\prime}(0)}{f(0)}\right]^2},\\ \beta_2 &= \frac{\mu_4}{\sigma^4} = \frac{f(0)f^{(4)}(0)}{(f^{\prime\prime}(0))^2}. \end{split}$$

The kurtosis for the distribution becomes

$$\frac{\mu_4}{\tilde{\sigma}^4} = \frac{f(x)|_0 f^{(4)}(x)|_0}{[f^{\prime\prime}(x)|_0]^2} =$$

$$\frac{6[(1-2e^{\sigma})^2(e^{\sigma}-1)^4+8e^{3\sigma}(-1+2e^{\sigma}+e^{3\sigma}-e^{2\sigma})N+8e^{6\sigma}N^2]}{[-1+e^{\sigma}(4+e^{\sigma}(-5+e^{\sigma}(2+4N)))]^2}$$

The "excess kurtosis" in the thermodynamic limit vanishes

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\mu_4}{\tilde{\sigma}^4} - 3 \to 0.$$

enabling us to approximate the distribution as gaussian and evaluate the partition function as

$$Z_{\Gamma}(\beta,N) \approx \left[e^{-\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{2\log 4}{N}}\right] \left(\frac{2-e^{-\sigma}}{(e^{\sigma}-1)^2}\right)^N$$

Corresponding canonical entropy

$$S = \ln Z_{\Gamma} + \beta A = N[\ln z(\sigma) + \sigma q] - \frac{1}{2} \ln N + \text{const.},$$

with $q = -\partial \log z / \partial \sigma$. The entropy is extremized w.r.t. the number of constituents to get

$$S \approx \frac{\sigma(q_0)A}{4\pi\gamma l_p^2} - \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{A}{4\pi\gamma l_p^2 q_0}\right) + \text{const.}$$

II.Canonical ensemble analysis

 ${\small igodol }$ We recover the B-H area law for the leading order if we take $\gamma=0.258$

Ghosh et. al.	Analysis Microcanonical LQG	γ 0.274
Ling, Zhang Ling, Zhang, Phys. Rev. D. 68 (2003)	N=1 SUSY LQG	0.247
KL, CV KL & Vaz, Phys. Rev. D. 85 (2012)	Canonical LQG	0.258

- Recent proposals suggest fixation of Immirizi parameter is not core to obtaining the area-law when the
 problem is posed in terms of local observers [Eugenio's talk].
- We also obtain sub-leading logarithmic corrections with a negative signature.
- Next we allow the number of punctures to vary.

• The corresponding grand-canonical treatment gives

$$\Xi(\beta,\alpha) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_j=0}^{N} \frac{N!}{\prod_j n_j!} \prod_j (2j+1)^{n_j} e^{-(8\pi\gamma\beta a_j - \alpha)n_j}$$

The average occupation number of punctures in a state j will be

$$\langle n_j \rangle = -\frac{1}{8\pi\gamma\beta} \frac{\partial \ln\Xi}{\partial a_j} = \frac{\lambda(2j+1)e^{-8\pi\gamma\beta a_j}}{1-\lambda z},$$

and the average quantities will be given by

$$\langle N\rangle = \frac{\partial \ln \Xi}{\partial \alpha} = \sum_j \langle n_j \rangle = \frac{\lambda z}{1 - \lambda z}$$

$$A = -\frac{\partial \ln \Xi}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln(1 - \lambda z) = -N \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial \beta}$$

where $\lambda(\alpha)=e^{\alpha}$ is the fugacity, and

$$z(\beta) = \sum_{j} (2j+1)e^{-8\pi\gamma\beta a_j}.$$

Using the relation

$$\Xi = \sum_{N} Z^{N} e^{\alpha N}$$

and using the canonical partition function we get

$$\Xi(\sigma,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{1 - \lambda(\alpha) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z_l(\sigma) \left(\frac{\sin k(l+1)}{\sin k}\right)},$$

with $z_l(\sigma) = e^{-\sigma(l+1)}$ and $\lambda(\alpha) = e^{\alpha}$.

Again, the partition function can be approximated (saddle-point) in the thermodynamic limit

$$\Xi(\sigma, \alpha) \approx \sqrt{2\pi} f(0)\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\{1 - \lambda z(\sigma)\}\{1 + \lambda b(\sigma)\}}}$$

where

$$z(\sigma) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z_l(\sigma)(l+1)$$

$$b(\sigma) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z_l(\sigma) \left[\frac{2}{3}l^3 + 2l^2 + \frac{1}{3}l - 1 \right].$$

15 Cracow School of Theoretical Physics, LIII Course, 2013 Statistical analysis of puctures for LQGBH

Large N limit is given by

 $\lambda z \rightarrow 1$

- In this limit the ratio A/N depends on the chemical potential and is constant for isothermal cases : Good
 intensive variable to use.
- Legendre transform of ln Ξ, which is the entropy, becomes

 $S(A, N) = \ln \Xi + \beta A - \alpha N = (N+1)\ln(N+1) - N\ln N + Na\sigma(a) + N\ln z(a)$

and simplifies, in the limit of large N, to

$$S(A, N) \approx \ln N + N[a\sigma(a) + \ln z(a)] = \frac{\sigma(a)}{\pi\gamma} \frac{A}{4l_p^2} + N\ln z(a) + \ln N.$$

• At some fixed value of the temperature, σ_0 , or of the chemical potential, α_0 , we find that $a(\sigma_0) = a_0$ then

$$N = \frac{A}{4\pi\gamma l_p^2 a_0}$$

can be used to eliminate ${\cal N}$

$$S(A) \approx \frac{1}{\pi\gamma} \left[\sigma_0 + \frac{\ln z(a_0)}{a_0} \right] \frac{A}{4l_p^2} + \ln \frac{A}{4l_p^2} + \mathrm{const.},$$

• Inclusion of the projection constraint and the fluctuation in N, in large N limit gives

$$S(A) = \frac{1}{\pi\gamma} \left[\sigma_0 + \frac{\ln z(a_0)}{a_0} \right] \frac{A}{4l_p^2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{A}{4l_p^2} + \text{const.}$$

• Therefore for isothermal case B-H law is obtained upto fixing the Immirizi parameter.

- For zero chemical potential we reocver the same Immirizi parameter. In general it is chemical potential dependent.
- The logarithmic correction has now become positive signature and differs from microcanonical results.

Discussions

- The B-H area relation can be achieved for isothermal cases in LQG.
- In general, the Immirizi parameter is a function of the temperature/chemical potential.
- Canonical/grand-canonical analysis suggests correction to area law, logarithmic in nature but with opposite signatures.
- Differs from microcanonical analysis Barbero, Vilasenor, Class. Quant. Grav. (2011).
- Implications for stability. Energy ensemble in terms of local observers will make the analysis thermal.

Thank you for your attention !