

Thermal decoupling and small-scale structure in DM models with Yukawa-like interactions

Laura van den Aarssen II. Institute for theoretical Physics, Hamburg

L.v.d.A., Torsten Bringmann, Yaşar Goedecke [arXiv:1202.5456 [hep-ph]]


L.v.d.A., Torsten Bringmann, Christoph Pfrommer [in preparation]

1

Dark Matter

What do we know?

- $\Omega_{DM} \simeq 0.23$
- @ electically neutral
- o non-baryonic
- collisionless
- © Cold -> Large scale stucture





WIMPs are good candidates:

- > motivation from particle physics
- > right relic abundance comes out naturally (WIMP miracle)


DM with Yukawa-like interactions

- heavy DM interacts through light force carrier Φ
- repeated exchange of Φ
 - -> Sommerfeld effect
- multiply cross-section by enhancement factor S
- resonances expected near bound state:
 - off resonance S~v-1
 - resonance S~v⁻²






Important interactions



annihilation self-scattering scattering



Second part of talk

Part I: Thermal history of WIMPs

Chemical decoupling

- \odot annihilations cease at x~25 ($\Gamma_a \propto n_{\chi} n_{\chi}$)
- number density "freezes out"
- sets relic abundance

$$Y = \frac{n_{\chi}}{s}$$


Kinetic decoupling


scattering off heat bath particles

ceases at $x\gg 25$ ($\Gamma_s \propto n_\chi n_{SM}$)

$$y = \frac{m_{\chi} T_{\chi}}{s^{2/3}}$$

- WIMPs cool down faster
- sets cutoff mass for smallest subhalos





Interplay between chemical and kinetic decoupling

annihilation

scattering

 $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ enhanced for $v \rightarrow 0$

DM velocity decreases faster after KD

DM population depleted of lowest velocity particles


relic density

WIMP "temperature"

consistent description: set of coupled Boltzmann eq's

$$\frac{Y'}{Y} = -\frac{1 - \frac{x}{3} \frac{g'_{*S}}{g_{*S}}}{Hx} sY \left\langle \sigma v_{\text{rel}} \right\rangle \Big|_{x = m_{\chi}^2/(s^{2/3}y)} \qquad \frac{y'}{y} = -\frac{1 - \frac{x}{3} \frac{g'_{*S}}{g_{*S}}}{Hx} \left[2m_{\chi} c(T) \left(1 - \frac{y_{\text{eq}}}{y} \right) - sY \left(\left\langle \sigma v_{\text{rel}} \right\rangle - \left\langle \sigma v_{\text{rel}} \right\rangle_2 \right)_{x = m_{\chi}^2/(s^{2/3}y)} \right]$$

New era of annihilations



model: scalar mediator on resonance


0(400)!

WIMPs finally decouple -> Mcut




self-scattering ensures
Maxwellian velocity
distribution

need to check separately for every model!



"Missing satellite" problem in the Milky Way



Substructures in cold DM simulations much more numerous than observed number of Milky Way satellites!




slide used with permission from Christoph Pfrommer

missing satellites: simulations predict many more subhalos than number of galaxy satellites inferred from observed galaxy luminosities and HI mass functions proposed solutions: increase gas entropy before collapse, suppress cooling efficiency, photo-evaporation, supernovae feedback, WDM...

The cusp vs. core problem

J. van Eymeren, C. Trachternach, B. S. Koribalski, R.-J. Dettmar (2009)



observations of dwarf galaxies show core-like inner structure whereas a cusp is predicted from simulations

"The density profiles of all sample galaxies derived from the observed rotation curves (open grey triangles). Their inner slopes are measured by applying a least square fit to all data points within the innermost kpc (bold black lines). The fitted values of and the uncertainties are placed into the upper right corner of each panel. Note that the rotation curves of ESO 059-G001, NGC 4861, and NGC 5408 only contain two points in the inner 1 kpc. Therefore, no uncertainties can be given. The long-dashed and dotted lines show the NFW and the ISO profiles, respectively, using the parameters of the minimum-disc case."

- missing satellites: simulations predict many more subhalos than number of galaxy satellites inferred from observed galaxy luminosities and HI mass functions proposed solutions: increase gas entropy before collapse, suppress cooling efficiency, photo-evaporation, supernovae feedback, WDM...
- Cusp/Core: observed cores of dSph and LSB galaxies in tension with cuspy internal density structure obtained by simulations.

proposed solutions: large velocity anisotropy, baryonic feedback, IDM, vdSIDM...

The "Too big to fail"-problem

6 M. Boylan-Kolchin, J. S. Bullock and M. Kaplinghat




Figure 3. Rotation curves for all subhalos with $V_{\rm infall} > 30\,{\rm km\,s^{-1}}$ and $V_{\rm max} > 10\,{\rm km\,s^{-1}}$, after excluding Magellanic Cloud analogs, in each of the six Aquarius simulations (top row, from left to right: A, B, C; bottom row: D, E, F). Subhalos that are at least 2σ denser than every bright MW dwarf spheroidal are plotted with solid curves, while the remaining subhalos are plotted as dotted curves. Data points with errors show measured $V_{\rm circ}$ values for the bright MW dSphs. Not only does each halo have several subhalos that are too dense to host any of the dSphs, each halo also has several massive subhalos (nominally capable of forming stars) with $V_{\rm circ}$ comparable to the MW dSphs that have no bright counterpart in the MW. In total, between 7 and 22 of these massive subhalos are unaccounted for in each halo.

most massive subhalos in simulations of MW sized halos are too dense to host observed brightest satellites!

- missing satellites: simulations predict many more subhalos than number of galaxy satellites inferred from observed galaxy luminosities and HI mass functions proposed solutions: increase gas entropy before collapse, suppress cooling efficiency, photo-evaporation, supernovae feedback, WDM...
- Cusp/Core: observed cores of dSph and LSB galaxies in tension with cuspy internal density structure obtained by simulations.

 proposed solutions: large velocity anisotropy, baryonic feedback, IDM, vdSIDM...
- "too big to fail": most massive subhalos in simulations of MW sized halos too dense to host observed brightest satellites. proposed solutions: increased stochasticity of galaxy formation, low MW mass. (WDM), vdSIDM...

Most solutions have shortcomings or only solve 1 or 2 problems at the same time

Self-scattering in structure formation

velocity dependent Self-Interacting DM is promising:

[Loeb, Weiner (2011)], [Vogelsberger, Zavala, Loeb (2012)]

avoids astrophysical constraints (unlike SIDM)

produces cored subhalos without affecting inner density profiles on larger scales

most massive subhalos are less dense and consistent with


observations

2 benchmark models (σ_{max} , v_{max}) solve

✓ cusp/core ✓ "too big to fail"


translated to (m_{χ}, m_{H}) , where A' is a vector mediator

need $m_{\chi} > 600 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{H} = O((\text{sub}) \text{ MeV})$



DM scattering off other particles


- freestreaming of WIMPs after kinetic decoupling creates cutoff in powerspectrum
- acoustic oscillations leads to similar cutoff (also depends on KD)
- M_{cut} = Max(M_{fs}, M_{ao}): only objects with M≥M_{cut} form
- late KD corresponds to high Mcut
- scattering for
 - > scalar mediator
 - scatters off Φ , μ^{\pm} and e^{\pm}
 - Saturation of $T_{KD} \sim 0.1$ MeV
 - v's negligible: $|M_{\Phi V \to \Phi V}|^2 \propto m_V^2$
 - > vector mediator:
 - v's contribute: $|M_{A'V \rightarrow A'V}|^2 \propto E_V^2$
 - T_{KD} can decrease to O(keV)!



Missing satellites and the cutoff mass

- DM with vector mediator scattering off neutrino's: very late decoupling -> high M_{cut}
- M_{cut} that can solve missing satellite problem inferred from N-body simulations with WDM

possibly solves also
missing satellites
problem!



More simulations and model building needed to confirm.

Conclusions

- First consistent framework to describe interplay between chemical and kinetic decoupling
 - > possibility of new era of annihilations
- Small-scale problems of ΛCDM Cosmology can be solved by a DM model with:
 - > velocity-dependent self-interactions mediated by (sub)MeV vector mediator
 - > much later kinetic decoupling than in standard case follows naturally for vector mediator coupling to neutrinos

Need further model building and simulations to confirm.

Thank you for your attention!