

Gravitational Waves

Kostas Kokkotas

Eberhard Karls University of Tübingen & Aristotle University of Thessaloniki



About the lectures...

- Theory of Gravitational Waves
- Gravitational Wave Detectors
 Signal Analysis
- Sources of Gravitational Waves

Gravitational Waves

Why Gravitational Waves?

- Fundamental aspect of General Relativity
- Originate in the most violent events in the Universe
- Major challenge to present technology
- Why we have not seen them yet?
 - They carry enormous amount of energy but
 - They couple very weakly to detectors.
- How we will detect them?
 - **Resonant Detectors** (Bars & Spheres)
 - Interferometric Detectors on Earth
 - Interferometers in Space

Gravitational vs E-M Waves

- EM waves are radiated by individual particles, GWs are due to nonspherical bulk motion of matter. I.e. the information carried by EM waves is stochastic in nature, while the GWs provide insights into coherent mass currents.
- The EM will have been scattered many times. In contrast, GWs interact weakly with matter and arrive at the Earth in pristine condition. Therefore, GWs can be used to probe regions of space that are opaque to EM waves. Still, the weak interaction with matter also makes the GWs fiendishly hard to detect.
- Standard astronomy is based on deep imaging of small fields of view, while gravitational-wave detectors cover virtually the entire sky.
- EM radiation has a wavelength smaller than the size of the emitter, while the wavelength of a GW is usually larger than the size of the source. Therefore, we cannot use GW data to create an image of the source. GW observations are more like audio than visual.

Neutrinos: are more like EM waves than GW in most respects, except... Propagate through most things like GW, so you can see dense centers But neutron stars don't generate so many v after first few minutes

Uncertainties and Benefits

Uncertainties

- The strength of the sources (may be orders of magnitude)
- The rate of occurrence of the various events
- The existence of the sources

Benefits

- Information about the Universe that we are unlikely ever to obtain in any other way
- Experimental tests of fundamental laws of physics which cannot be tested in any other way
- The first detection of GWs will directly verify their existence
- By comparing the arrival times of EM and GW bursts we can measure their speed with a fractional accuracy $\sim 10^{-11}$
- From their polarization properties of the GWs we can verify GR prediction that the waves are transverse and traceless
- From the waveforms we can directly identify the existence of black-holes.

Information carried by GWs

• Frequency

$$f \sim 10^4 Hz \Rightarrow \rho \sim 10^{16} gr/cm^3$$

 $f \sim 10^{-4} Hz \Rightarrow \rho \sim 1 gr/cm^3$

$$f_{dyn} \sim \left(\frac{GM}{R^3}\right)^{1/2} \sim (G\rho)^{1/2}$$

- Rate of frequency change
- Damping

$$\dot{f}/f \sim (M_1, M_2)$$

 $\tau \sim M^3/R^4$

- Polarization
 - Inclination of the symmetry plane of the source
 - Test of general relativity
- Amplitude
 - Information about the strength and the distance of the source (h~1/r).
- Phase
 - Especially useful for detection of binary systems.

GW Frequency Bands

- High–Frequency: 1 Hz 10 kHz
 - (Earth Detectors)
- Low–Frequency: 10⁻⁴ 1 Hz
 - (Space Detectors)
- Very–Low–Frequency: 10⁻⁷ 10⁻⁹ Hz
 - (Pulsar Timing)
- Extremely–Low–Frequency: 10^{-15} – 10^{-18} Hz
 - (COBE, WMAP, Planck)

Gravitation & Spacetime Curvature



June

Linearized Gravity

- Assume a small perturbation on the background metric:
- The perturbed Einstein's equations are:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \quad |h_{\mu\nu}| <<$$

$$h_{\alpha\beta;\mu}^{;\mu} + g_{\alpha\beta} h^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu\mu} - 2h_{\mu(\alpha}^{;\mu}_{;\beta)} + 2R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} h^{\mu\nu} - 2R_{\mu(\alpha} h_{\beta)}^{\mu} = kT_{\alpha\beta}$$

- Far from the source (weak field limit)...
- And by **choosing a gauge**:
- Simple wave equation:

$$\widetilde{h}_{\mu\nu} \equiv h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} n_{\mu\nu} h_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha} \qquad h_{;\nu}^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 t} + \nabla^2)h^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\lambda \partial^\lambda h^{\mu\nu} = kT^{\mu\nu}$$

Transverse-Traceless (TT)-gauge

Plane wave solution

$$\widetilde{h}^{\mu\nu} = A^{\mu\nu} e^{ik_a x^a}$$

0

0

0

0

 R_{j0k0}^{TT}

≡

TT-gauge (wave propagating in the z-direction)

$$A^{\mu\nu} = h_{+}\varepsilon_{+}^{\mu\nu} + h_{\times}\varepsilon_{\times}^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon_{+}^{\mu\nu}$$

- **Riemann tensor**
- **Geodesic deviation**

$$\begin{array}{l}
A^{\mu\nu}e^{ik_{a}x^{a}} \\
A^{\mu\nu}e^{ik_{a}x^{a}} \\
k^{\mu}k_{\mu} = 0 \\
k^{\mu}k_{\mu} = 0 \\
k^{\mu}k_{\mu} = 0 \\
k^{\mu}k_{\mu} = 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}h_{jk}^{TT}$$

$$\frac{d^2 \xi_k}{dt^2} \approx -R_{k0j0}^{TT} \xi^j = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 h_{jk}^{TT}}{\partial t^2} \xi^j$$
$$f^k \sim m \cdot R_{0j0}^k \cdot \xi^j$$

Gravitational Waves II



GW Polarizations



Stress-Energy carried by GWs

GWs exert forces and do work, they must carry energy and momentum

- The energymomentum tensor in an arbitrary gauge
- ...in the TT-gauge:
- ...it is divergence free
- For waves propagating in the zdirection
- for a SN exploding in Virgo cluster the energy flux on Earth
- The corresponding EM energy flux is:

$$t_{\mu\nu}^{(GW)} = \frac{1}{32\pi} \left\langle \tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta;\mu} \tilde{h}_{;\nu}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}_{;\mu} \tilde{h}_{;\nu} - \tilde{h}_{;\beta}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{h}_{\alpha\mu;\nu} - \tilde{h}_{;\beta}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{h}_{\alpha\nu;\mu} \right\rangle$$

$$\frac{t_{\mu\nu}^{(GW)} = \frac{1}{32\pi} \left\langle \tilde{h}_{;\mu}^{jk \ TT} \cdot \tilde{h}_{jk;\nu}^{TT} \right\rangle}{t_{\mu;\nu}^{\nu} (GW)} = 0$$

$$t_{00}^{(GW)} = -\frac{1}{c} t_{0z}^{(GW)} = \frac{1}{c^2} t_{zz}^{(GW)} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{c^2}{G} \left\langle \dot{h}_{+}^2 + \dot{h}_{\times}^2 \right\rangle$$

$$t_{0z}^{(GW)} \approx \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{c^3}{G} f^2 \left\langle h_{+}^2 + h_{\times}^2 \right\rangle = 320 \times \left(\frac{f}{1kHz}\right)^2 \left(\frac{h}{10^{-21}}\right)^2 \frac{\text{ergs}}{\text{cm}^2 \text{sec}}$$

$$\sim 10^{-9} \text{erg} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{sec}^{-1}$$

Wave-Propagation Effects

GWs affected by the large scale structure of the spacetime exactly as the EM waves

- The magnitude of h_{ik}^{TT} falls of as 1/r
- The polarization, like that of light in vacuum, is parallel transported radially from source to earth
- The time dependence of the waveform is unchanged by propagation except for a frequency-independent redshift

We expect

- Absorption, scattering and dispersion
- Scattering by the background curvature and tails
- Gravitational focusing
- Diffraction
- Parametric amplification
- Non-linear coupling of the GWs (frequency doubling)
- Generation of background curvature by the waves



The emission of grav. radiation

If the energy-momentum tensor is varying with time, GWs will be emitted



Angular and Linear momentum emission

Angular momentum
 emission

$$\frac{dJ_i^{GW}}{dt} = \frac{2}{5} \sum_{jkl} \varepsilon_{ijk} \left\langle \ddot{Q}_{jl} \cdot \ddot{Q}_{lk} \right\rangle$$

Linear momentum
 emission

$$\frac{dP_i^{GW}}{dt} = \frac{2}{63} \sum_{jk} \left\langle \ddot{Q}_{jk} \cdot \ddot{Q}_{jki} \right\rangle + \frac{16}{45} \sum_{jkl} \varepsilon_{ijk} \left\langle \ddot{Q}_{jl} \cdot \ddot{P}_{lk} \right\rangle$$



- : mass octupole moment
- *P_{ij}* : current quadrupole moment

Back of the envelope calculations!

- Characteristic time-scale for a mass element to move from one side of the system to another is:
- The **quadrupole moment** is approximately:
- Luminosity

 The amplitude of GWs at a distance r (R~R_{Schw}~10Km and r~10Mpc~3x10¹⁹km):

Radiation damping

$$T \sim \frac{R}{\upsilon} \sim \frac{R}{\left(M / R\right)^{1/2}} = \left(\frac{R^3}{M}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\ddot{Q}_{ij} \sim \frac{MR^2}{T^3} \sim \frac{M\upsilon^2}{T} \sim \frac{E_{ns}}{T} \sim \left(\frac{M}{R}\right)^{5/2}$$

$$L_{GW} \sim \frac{G}{c^5} \left(\frac{M}{R}\right)^5 \sim \frac{G}{c^5} \left(\frac{M}{R}\right)^2 \upsilon^6 \sim \frac{c^5}{G} \left(\frac{R_{Sch}}{R}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\upsilon}{c}\right)^6$$

$$\frac{c^{3}}{G} = 3.63 \times 10^{59} \, erg \, / \, s = 2.03 \times 10^{5} M_{\odot} \, c^{2} \, / \, s$$

$$h \sim \frac{\ddot{Q}}{r} \sim \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{MR^2}{T^2}\right) \sim \frac{1}{r} \frac{M^2}{R} \sim \dots \sim 10^{-1}$$

$$\tau_{react} = \frac{E_{kin}}{L_{GW}} \sim \left(\frac{R}{M}\right)^{5/2} T \sim \left(\frac{\upsilon}{c}\right) \left(\frac{R}{R_{Schw}}\right)^3 T$$

19



Vibrating Quadrupole



Two-body collision



Rotating Quadrupole (a binary system)

THE BEST SOURCE FOR GWs

- Radiated power
- Energy loss leads to shrinking of their orbital separation
- Period changes with rate
- ...and the system will coalesce
 after
- The total energy loss is
- Typical **amplitude** of GWs



First verification of GWs



June 17, 07

Binary systems (examples)

chirp PSR 1913+16 h(t) x 10²¹ $M_1 = M_2 \sim 1.4 M_e$, P=7h 45m 7s, r=5kpc, $h_{earth} \sim 10^{-20}$, f $\sim 10^{-4}$ Hz, $T_{insp} \sim 3x10^{8}$ yr $dP_{theo}/dt = -7.2' \ 10^{-12} \text{s/yr} \ dP_{obs}/dt = -(6.9 \pm 06) \times 10^{-12} \text{s/yr}$ The LIGO/VIRGO binary (10-1000Hz) 0.5 0.6 $M_1 = M_2 \sim 1.4 M_e$, $f_0 = 10 Hz$, $f_{final} = 1000 Hz$, $T_{insp} \sim 15 min$, after ~ 15000 cycles (inspiral/merging 300Mpc) $f \sim 1 k H z \left(\frac{10 M_o}{M} \right)$ $M_1 = 50M_e$, $M_2 \sim 50M_e$, $f_0 = 10Hz$, f_{final}=100Hz, (inspiral/merging 400Mpc) The LISA binary (10⁻⁵-10⁻²Hz) $M_1 = M_2 \sim 10^6 M_e$, $f_0 = 10^4 Hz$, $f_{final} = 0.01 Hz$, (inspiral/merging at r~3Gpc) $M_1 = M_2 \sim 10^5 M_e$, $f_0 = 10^{-4} Hz$, $f_{final} = 0.1 Hz$, (inspiral/merging at r~3Gpc) $M_1 = M_2 \sim 10^4 M_e$, $f_0 = 10^{-3} Hz$, $f_{final} = 1 Hz$, (inspiral at r~3Gpc) Smaller Stars/BHs plunging into super-massive ones

0.7

1

0.8

T (sec)

0.9





 The corresponding amplitude will be :

•Since both frequency and its rate of change are measurable quantities, we can immediately compute the chirp mass.

•The third relation provides us with a direct estimate of the distance of the source

Post-Newtonian relations can provide the individual masses





Quadrupole Detector Limitations

Problems

- Very small cross section ~3x10⁻¹⁹cm²
- Sensitive to periodic GWs tuned in the right frequency of the detector
- Sensitive to bursts only if the pulse has a substantial component at the resonant frequency
- The width of the resonance is:

$$\Delta \nu \sim \gamma / 2\pi \sim 10^{-2} Hz$$

•Thermal noise limits our ability to detect the energy of GWs.

•The excitation energy has to be greater than the thermal fluctuations $E \ge kT$

$$h_{\min} \geq \frac{1}{\omega_0 LQ} \sqrt{\frac{15kT}{M}} \sim 10^{-20}$$

BURSTS

- •Periodic signals which match the resonant frequency of the detector are extremely rare.
- A great number of events produces short pulses which spread radiation over a wide range of frequencies.
 The minimum detectable amplitude is

$$h_{\min} \ge \frac{1}{\omega_0 L} \sqrt{\frac{30kT_{eff}}{\pi M}} \sim 10^{-16}$$

The total energy of a pulse from the Galactic center (r=10kpc) which will provide an amplitude of $h\sim 10^{-16}$ or energy flux $\sim 10^9$ erg/cm².

$$4\pi r^2 \times 10^9 erg / cm^2 = 10^{55} erg$$

 $\approx 10M_{\Box} c^2 !!!$

Improvements to resonant detectors

- Have higher Q
- Operate in extremely low temperatures (mK)
- Larger masses
- Different geometry
- Better electronic sensors



Modern Bar Detectors

	WEBER	NAUTILUS
mass(kg)	1410	2270
Length(m)	1.53	2.97
$\omega_0(Hz)$	1660	910
$Q = \omega / \gamma$	2×10^{5}	2.3×10^{6}
$\sigma (\omega_0)_{abs} (cm^2)$	2×10^{-19}	70×10^{-19}
Typical pulse sensitivity \boldsymbol{h}	10^{-16}	9×10^{-19}





International Gravitational Events Collaboration

ALLEGRO- AURIGA - ROG (EXPLORER-NAUTILUS)

- The "oldest" resonant detector EXPLORER started operations about 16 years ago.
- This kind of detector has reached a high level of reliability.
- The duty factor is greater than 90%.



New Advanced Acoustic Detectors are in RD phase

Sensitivity of Resonant Detectors



Exploiting the resonant-mass detector technique: the spherical detector

M = 1 - 100 tons

Sensitivity: $10^{-23} - 10^{-24} \text{Hz}^{-1/2}$; h ~ $10^{-21} - 10^{-22}$

Omnidirectional
Capable of detecting source position
Capable of measuring polarization



MINIGRAIL Leiden (Netherlands)

MARIO SHENBERG Sao Paulo (Brasil)

SFERA Frascati (Italy)

CuAl(6%) sphere Diameter= 65 cm Frequency = 3 kHz Mass = 1 ton

Laser Interferometers

The output of the detector is

$$\frac{\Delta L}{L} = F_+ h_+(t) + F_{\times} h_{\times}(t) = h(t)$$

- Technology allows measurements ΔL~10⁻¹⁶cm.
- For signals with h~10⁻²¹-10⁻²² we need arm lengths L~1-10km.
- Change in the arm length by ΔL corresponds to a phase change

$$\Delta \varphi = \frac{4\pi b \Delta L}{\lambda} \sim 10^{-9} \, \text{rad}$$

• The number of photons reaching the photo-detector is proportional to laser-beam's intensity $[\sim sin^2(\Delta \varphi/2)]$

$$N_{\rm out} = N_{\rm input} \sin^2(\Delta \varphi/2)$$



OPTIMAL CONFIGURATION

- •Long arm length L
- •Large number of reflections b
- •Large number of photons (but be aware of radiation pressure)
- •Operate at interface minimum $cos(2\pi b\Delta L/\lambda)=1$.



Noise Sources I

Photon Shot Noise

- The number of emerging photons is subject to statistical fluctuations
- Implies an uncertainty in the measurement of <u>ΔL</u>.

Radiation Pressure Noise

- Lasers produce radiation pressure on the mirrors
- Uncertainty in the measurement of the deposited momentum leads to an uncertainty in the position of the mirrors

• Quantum Limit

- If we try to minimize PSN and RPN with respect to laser power we get a minimum detectable stain
- Heisenberg's principle sets an additional uncertainty in the measurement of ΔL
- (ΔL Δp 🏟, if Δp~m ΔL/τ ...) and the minimum detectable strain is

$$\delta N_{out} \sim \sqrt{N_{out}}$$

$$h_{\min} = \frac{\delta(\Delta L)}{L} = \frac{\Delta L}{L} \sim \frac{1}{bL} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c\lambda}{2\pi\tau I_0}}$$

$$h_{\min} = \frac{\tau}{m} \frac{b}{L} \sqrt{\frac{\tau \hbar I_0}{c \lambda}}$$

 $h_{\min} = \frac{1}{L} \sqrt{\frac{\tau \hbar}{m}}$

LIGO/Virgo Sensitivity

• Seismic Noise

 Important below 60Hz.
 Dominates over all other types of noise.

Residual gas-phase noise

- Statistical fluctuations in the residual gas density induce fluctuations of the refraction index and as a result on the monitored phase shift.
- Vacuum pipes (~10⁻⁹ torr)

INITIAL LIGO SUSPENSION THERMAL 10 SEISMIC GRAVITY GRADIENT NOK EADILATION PRE-SQUARE -21 10 h(f) [Hz^{-1/2}] SHOT TEST MASS INTERNAL -23¹ 10 RESIDUAL GASS, 10⁻⁶ TORR H. STRAVLIGHT FACILITY RESIDUAL GAS, 10⁻⁹ Torr H₂ -25 10 10 100 1000 10000

Frequency (Hz)

INITIAL INTERFEROMETER SENSITIVITY



LIGO now at design sensitivity

Strain Sensitivity for the LIGO 4km Interferometers



VIRGO is reaching its designed sensitivity



Future Gravitational Wave Antennas

Advanced LIGO

- 2008-2010; planning under way
- 10-15 times more sensitive than initial LIGO

High Frequency GEO

- 2008? Neutron star physics, BH quasi-normal modes
- EGO: European Gravitational Wave Observatory
 - 2012? Cosmology



Towards GW astronomy

- Present detectors will test upper limits
- Even in the optimistic case rate too low to start GW astronomy
- Need to improve the sensitivity
- Increase the sensitivity by 10 ⇒ increase the probed volume by 1000
- Plans to improve the present detectors



LISA the space interferometer

•LISA is low frequency detector.

```
•With arm lengths 5,000,000 km targets at 0.1mHz - 0.1Hz.
```

•Some sources are very well known (close binary systems in our galaxy).

•Some other sources are extremely strong (SM-BH binaries)

•LISA's sensitivity is roughly the same as that of LIGO, but at 10⁵ times lower frequency.

•Since the gravitational waves energy flux scales as $F \sim f^2 \cdot h^2$, it has 10 orders better energy sensitivity than LIGO.





Gravitational Wave Spectrum...



The END of the 1st day