

Noncommutative spaces at finite resolution

Walter van Suijlekom

Outline

Noncommutative geometry and operator systems

Spectral truncation of the circle

Gromov–Hausdorff convergence

Spaces at finite spatial resolution

Outline

Noncommutative geometry and operator systems

Spectral truncation of the circle

Gromov–Hausdorff convergence

Spaces at finite spatial resolution

The “usual” story


Given cpt Riemannian spin manifold (M, g) with spinor bundle S_M on M .

- the C^* -algebra $C(M)$
- the self-adjoint Dirac operator D_M
- both acting on Hilbert space $L^2(S_M)$

\rightsquigarrow spectral triple: $(C(M), L^2(S_M), D_M)$

Reconstruction of distance function [Connes 1994]:

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{f \in C(M)} \{|f(x) - f(y)| : \|[D_M, f]\| \leq 1\}$$



Spectral triples

More generally, we consider a triple (A, \mathcal{H}, D)

- a C^* -algebra A
- a self-adjoint operator D with compact resolvent and bounded commutators $[D, a]$ for $a \in \mathcal{A} \subset A$
- both acting (boundedly, resp. unboundedly) on Hilbert space \mathcal{H}

Generalized distance function:

- States are positive linear functionals $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of norm 1
- Pure states are extreme points of state space
- Distance function on state space of A :

$$d(\phi, \psi) = \sup_{a \in A} \{ |\phi(a) - \psi(a)| : \|[D, a]\| \leq 1 \}$$

Spectral data

- The mathematical reformulation of geometry in terms of spectral data requires the knowledge of **all eigenvalues** of the Dirac operator.
- From a physical standpoint this is **not very realistic**: detectors have limited energy ranges and resolution.

We develop the mathematical formalism for (noncommutative) geometry with only part of the spectrum and/or with finite resolution.

This is in line with [D'Andrea–Lizzi–Martinetti 2014], [Glaser–Stern 2019] and based on [Connes–vS] (CMP, Szeged)

Towards operator systems..

- (I) Given (A, \mathcal{H}, D) we project onto part of the spectrum of D :
- $\mathcal{H} \mapsto P\mathcal{H}$, projection onto closed **Hilbert subspace**
 - $D \mapsto PDP$, still a **self-adjoint operator**
 - $A \mapsto PAP$, this is not an algebra any more (unless $P \in A$)

Instead, PAP is an operator system: $(PaP)^* = Pa^*P$.

- (II) Another approach would be to consider metric spaces **up to a finite resolution** :
- Consider integral operators associated to the **tolerance relation** R_ϵ given by $d(x, y) < \epsilon$

Operator systems

Definition (Choi-Effros 1977)

An **operator system** is a $*$ -closed vector space E of bounded operators.

Unital: it contains the identity operator.

- E is **ordered**: cone $E_+ \subseteq E$ of positive operators, in the sense that $T \in E_+$ iff

$$\langle \psi, T\psi \rangle \geq 0; \quad (\psi \in \mathcal{H}).$$

- in fact, E is **matrix ordered**: cones $M_n(E)_+ \subseteq M_n(E)$ of positive operators on \mathcal{H}^n for any n .

Maps between operator systems E, F are **completely positive maps** in the sense that their extensions $M_n(E) \rightarrow M_n(F)$ are positive for all n .

Isomorphisms are **complete order isomorphisms**

C^* -envelope of a unital operator system


Arveson introduced the notion of C^* -envelope for unital operator systems in 1969, Hamana established existence and uniqueness in 1979.

Non-unital case: [Connes-vS 2020], [Kennedy–Kim–Manor 2021]

A C^* -extension $\kappa : E \rightarrow A$ of a unital operator system E is given by a complete order isomorphism onto $\kappa(E) \subseteq A$ such that $C^*(\kappa(E)) = A$.

A C^* -envelope of a unital operator system is a C^* -extension $\kappa : E \rightarrow A$ with the following universal property:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & A \\ & \searrow \lambda & \uparrow \exists! \rho \\ & & B \end{array}$$



Example: operator system $C_{\text{harm}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ of continuous harmonic functions with C^* -envelope $C(S^1)$.

Propagation number of an operator system

One lets $E^{\circ n}$ be the norm closure of the linear span of products of $\leq n$ elements of E .

Definition

The *propagation number* $\text{prop}(E)$ of E is defined as the smallest integer n such that $\kappa(E)^{\circ n} \subseteq C_{\text{env}}^*(E)$ is a C^* -algebra.

Returning to harmonic functions in the disk we have $\text{prop}(C_{\text{harm}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})) = 1$.

Proposition

The propagation number is *invariant under complete order isomorphisms*, as well as *under stable=Morita equivalence* [EKT, 2019]:

$$\text{prop}(E) = \text{prop}(E \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{K})$$

More generally [Koot, 2021], we have

$$\text{prop}(E \otimes_{\min} F) = \max\{\text{prop}(E), \text{prop}(F)\}$$

State spaces of operator systems

- The existence of a cone $E_+ \subseteq E$ of positive elements allows to speak of states on E as **positive linear functionals of norm 1**.
- In the **finite-dimensional case**, the **dual** E^d of a unital operator system is a unital operator system with

$$E_+^d = \{ \phi \in E^d : \phi(T) \geq 0, \forall T \in E_+ \}$$

and similarly for the matrix order.

- Also, we have $(E^d)_+^d \cong E_+$ as cones in $(E^d)^d \cong E$.
- It follows that we have the following useful correspondence:
pure states on E \longleftrightarrow extreme rays in $(E^d)_+$
and the other way around.

Outline

Noncommutative geometry and operator systems

Spectral truncation of the circle

Gromov–Hausdorff convergence

Spaces at finite spatial resolution

Spectral truncation of the circle: Toeplitz matrices

- Eigenvectors of D_{S^1} are **Fourier modes** $e_k(t) = e^{ikt}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$
- **Orthogonal projection** $P = P_n$ onto $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$
- The space $C(S^1)^{(n)} := PC(S^1)P$ is an **operator system**
- Any $T = PfP$ in $C(S^1)^{(n)}$ can be written as a **Toeplitz matrix**

$$PfP \sim (t_{k-l})_{kl} = \begin{pmatrix} t_0 & t_{-1} & \cdots & t_{-n+2} & t_{-n+1} \\ t_1 & t_0 & t_{-1} & & t_{-n+2} \\ \vdots & t_1 & t_0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{n-2} & & \ddots & \ddots & t_{-1} \\ t_{n-1} & t_{n-2} & \cdots & t_1 & t_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have: $C_{\text{env}}^*(C(S^1)^{(n)}) \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\text{prop}(C(S^1)^{(n)}) = 2$ (for any n).

Dual operator system: Fejér–Riesz

We introduce the Fejér–Riesz operator system $C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)}$:

- functions on S^1 with a finite number of non-zero Fourier coefficients:

$$a = (\dots, 0, a_{-n+1}, a_{-n+2}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, 0, \dots)$$

- an element a is positive iff $\sum_k a_k e^{ikx}$ is a positive function on S^1 .
- The C^* -envelope of $C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)}$ is given by $C^*(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition

1. The extreme rays in $(C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)})_+$ are given by the elements $a = (a_k)$ for which the Laurent series $\sum_k a_k z^k$ has all its zeroes on S^1 .
2. The pure states of $C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)}$ are given by $a \mapsto \sum_k a_k \lambda^k$ ($\lambda \in S^1$).


Pure states on the Toeplitz matrices

Duality of $C(S^1)^{(n)}$ and $C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)}$ [Connes–vS 2020] and [Farenick 2021]:

$$C(S^1)^{(n)} \times C^*(\mathbb{Z})_{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$
$$(T = (t_{k-l})_{k,l}, a = (a_k)) \mapsto \sum_k a_k t_{-k}$$

Proposition

1. The **extreme rays** in $C(S^1)^{(n)}_+$ are $\gamma(\lambda) = |f_\lambda\rangle\langle f_\lambda|$ for any $\lambda \in S^1$.
2. The **pure state space** $\mathcal{P}(C(S^1)^{(n+1)}) \cong \mathbb{T}^n/S_n$.



Curiosities on Toeplitz matrices

Theorem (Carathéodory)

Let T be an $n \times n$ Toeplitz matrix. Then $T \geq 0$ iff $T = V\Delta V^*$ with

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & \\ & d_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & d_n \end{pmatrix}; \quad V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{n-1} & \lambda_2^{n-1} & \cdots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $d_1, \dots, d_n \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in S^1$.

Farenick continues to exploit the duality by showing:

- every positive linear map of the $n \times n$ complex matrices is completely positive when restricted to the Toeplitz operator system.
- every unital isometry of the $n \times n$ Toeplitz matrices into the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices is a unitary similarity transformation.


Spectral truncations of the circle ($n = 3$)

We consider $n = 3$ for which the Toeplitz matrices are of the form



$$T = \begin{pmatrix} t_0 & t_{-1} & t_{-2} \\ t_1 & t_0 & t_{-1} \\ t_2 & t_1 & t_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The pure state space is \mathbb{T}^2/S_2 , given by vector states $|\xi\rangle\langle\xi|$ with

$$\xi \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ e^{ix} + e^{iy} \\ e^{i(x+y)} \end{pmatrix}$$



This is a Möbius strip!



Finite Fourier transform and duality

- Fourier transform on the **cyclic group** maps $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ to $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}]$ and vice versa, exchanging **pointwise and convolution** product.
- This can be phrased in terms of a **duality**:

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}] \times l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$
$$\langle c, g \rangle \mapsto \sum_{k,l} c_l g(k) e^{2\pi i k l / m}$$

compatibly with **positivity**.

- Thus we may consider the above duality for Toeplitz matrices as some sort of generalization of Fourier theory to operator systems.
- However, note that for finite Fourier theory the **symmetries are reduced** from S^1 to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Outline

Noncommutative geometry and operator systems

Spectral truncation of the circle

Gromov–Hausdorff convergence

Spaces at finite spatial resolution


Convergence to the circle

[vS 2021] and [Hekkelman, 2021] study the Gromov–Hausdorff convergence of the state spaces $\mathcal{S}(C(S^1)^{(n)})$ with the distance function d_n to the circle.

- The map $R_n : C(S^1) \rightarrow C(S^1)^{(n)}$ given by compression with P_n allows to pull-back states from $C(S^1)^{(n)}$ to the circle
- There is a **C^1 -approximate order inverse** $S_n : C(S^1)^{(n)} \rightarrow C(S^1)$:

$$R_n(S_n(T)) = T_n \odot T; \quad S_n(R_n(f)) = F_n * f$$

in terms of a Schur product with a matrix T_n and the convolution with the Fejér kernel F_n :




- The fact that S_n is a C^1 -approximate inverse of R_n allows one to prove

$$d_{S^1}(\phi, \psi) - 2\gamma_n \leq d_n(\phi \circ S_n, \psi \circ S_n) \leq d_{S^1}(\phi, \psi)$$

where $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- Some (basic) Python simulations for point evaluation on S^1 :



Gromov–Hausdorff convergence

Recall **Gromov–Hausdorff distance** between two metric spaces:

$$d_{\text{GH}}(X, Y) = \inf\{d_H(f(X), g(Y)) \mid f : X \rightarrow Z, g : Y \rightarrow Z \text{ isometric}\}$$

and

$$d_H(X, Y) = \inf\{\epsilon \geq 0; X \subseteq Y_\epsilon, Y \subseteq X_\epsilon\}$$

- Using the maps R_n, S_n we can equip $\mathcal{S}(C(S^1)) \amalg \mathcal{S}(C(S^1)^{(n)})$ with a distance function that **bridges** the given distance functions on $\mathcal{S}(C(S^1))$ and $\mathcal{S}(C(S^1)^{(n)})$ within ϵ for large n .

Proposition (vS21, Hekkelman 2021)

The sequence of state spaces $\{(\mathcal{S}(C(S^1)^{(n)}), d_n)\}$ converges to $(\mathcal{S}(C(S^1)), d_{S^1})$ in Gromov–Hausdorff distance.

More general results on GH-convergence

Definition

Let $\{(\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{H}_n, D_n)\}_n$ be a sequence of operator system spectral triples and let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be an operator system spectral triple. An C^1 -approximate order isomorphism for this set of data is given by linear maps $R_n : E \rightarrow E_n$ and $S_n : E_n \rightarrow E$ for any n such that the following three conditions hold:

1. the maps R_n, S_n are positive, unital, contractive and Lipschitz-contractive maps
2. there exist sequences γ_n, γ'_n both converging to zero such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_n \circ R_n(a) - a\| &\leq \gamma_n \| [D, a] \|, \\ \|R_n \circ S_n(h) - h\| &\leq \gamma'_n \| [D_n, h] \|.\end{aligned}$$

Examples: Toeplitz and Fejér–Riesz [vS 2021], cubic truncations of \mathbb{T}^d [Berendschot 2019], fuzzy spheres [Rieffel 2000], quantum fuzzy spheres [Aguilar–Kaad–Kyed, 2021] ...

More general results on GH-convergence

Theorem

Suppose $(\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{H}_n, D_n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ are operator system spectral triples such that the topologies on $\mathcal{S}(E_n)$ and $\mathcal{S}(E)$ defined by the metrics d_{E_n} and d_E , respectively, agree with the weak-* topologies on them.

If (R_n, S_n) is a C^1 -approximate order isomorphism for $(\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{H}_n, D_n)$ and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, D)$, then the state spaces $(\mathcal{S}(E_n), d_{E_n})$ converge to $(\mathcal{S}(E), d_E)$ in Gromov–Hausdorff distance.

Outline

Noncommutative geometry and operator systems

Spectral truncation of the circle

Gromov–Hausdorff convergence

Spaces at finite spatial resolution

Operator systems, groupoids and bonds

Recall:

- Consider a **locally compact groupoid** G equipped with a (left invariant) Haar system $\nu = \{\nu_x\}$.
- The space $C_c(G)$ of compactly supported complex-valued continuous functions on G becomes a $*$ -algebra with the convolution product and involution given by

$$f * g(\gamma) = \int_{G_x} f(\gamma\gamma_1^{-1})g(\gamma_1)d\nu_x(\gamma_1); \quad f^*(\gamma) = \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})},$$

where $x = s(\gamma)$ for any $\gamma \in G$.

- $C_c(G)$ can be completed to the **groupoid C^* -algebra** $C^*(G)$

Definition

A **bond** is a triple (G, ν, Ω) consisting of a locally compact groupoid G , a Haar system $\nu = \{\nu_x\}$ and an open symmetric subset $\Omega \subseteq G$ containing the units $G^{(0)}$.

Proposition

Let (Ω, G, ν) be a bond. The closure of the subspace $C_c(\Omega) \subseteq C_c(G)$ in the C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ is an operator system.

Example

1. Consider $\Omega_n = (-n, n) \subset \mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow$ **Fejér–Riesz operator system** inside $C^*(\mathbb{Z})$.
2. Consider $\Omega_n = (-n, n) \subset C_m$ (so modulo m). The operator system consists of **banded $m \times m$ circulant matrices** of band width n .
Thus, the ambient groupoid is crucial since these two operator systems are not even Morita equivalent.
3. Given the set $X = \{1, \dots, m\}$ consider a “band” $R_n \subset X \times X$ around the diagonal of width $n \rightsquigarrow$ **banded $m \times m$ matrices** of band width n .

Operator systems associated to tolerance relations

- Suppose that X is a set and consider a relation $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times X$ on X that is reflexive, symmetric but not necessarily transitive.
- Key motivating example: a metric space (X, d) with the relation

$$\mathcal{R}_\epsilon := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$$

- If (X, μ) is a measure space and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times X$ an open subset we obtain the operator system $E(\mathcal{R})$ as the closure of integral operator with support in \mathcal{R} . Note that $E(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(L^2(X))$

Tolerance relations on finite sets [Gielen–vS, 2022]

Let X be a finite set and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq X \times X$ a symmetric reflexive relation on X and suppose that \mathcal{R} generates the full equivalence class $X \times X$ (i.e. the graph corresponding to \mathcal{R} is connected). Then

1. the C^* -envelope of $E(\mathcal{R})$ is $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(X)) \cong M_{|X|}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\text{prop}(E(\mathcal{R})) = \text{diam}(\mathcal{R})$.
2. If \mathcal{R} is a chordal graph, then $E(\mathcal{R})^d \cong E(\mathcal{R})$ as a vector space, but with order structure given by being **partially positive**.
3. the **pure states** of $E(\mathcal{R})$ are given by vector states $|v\rangle\langle v|$ for which the support of $v \in \ell^2(X)$ is \mathcal{R} -connected.

Example

The operator systems of $p \times p$ **band matrices** with band width N .

1. The **propagation number** of $\mathcal{E}_{p,N} \subseteq M_p(\mathbb{C})$ is equal to $\lceil p/N \rceil$.
2. The dual operator system consists of band matrices (with order given by **partially positive**).

Spaces at finite resolution

Consider now a path metric measure space X with a measure of full support, and the following tolerance relation:

$$\mathcal{R}_\epsilon := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$$

It gives rise to the operator system $E(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(L^2(X))$.

Proposition

If X is a complete and locally compact path metric measure space X with a measure of full support, then

1. $C_{env}^*(E(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon)) = \mathcal{K}(L^2(X))$ and $\text{prop}(E(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon)) = \lceil \text{diam}(X)/\epsilon \rceil$
2. The **pure states** of $E(\mathcal{R}_\epsilon)$ are given by vector states $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ where the essential support of $\psi \in L^2(X)$ is **ϵ -connected**.

Outlook

- Spectral truncations: tori, compact Lie groups, etc.
- Bonds in groupoids: approximate order unit, duality, etc.
- Metric structure on state spaces for spaces at finite resolution
- Gromov–Hausdorff convergence, entropy
- General theory of spectral triples for operator systems
- ...

Thanks!