

NONCOMMUTATIVE $U(1)$ DEFORMATIONS AND
 L_∞ BOOTSTRAP

PATRIZIA VITALE

Università di Napoli Federico II and INFN
Napoli ITALY

KRAKOW 30.11.2021

"Noncommutative Geometry and Physics: Quantum spacetime"

work in collaboration with V. Kupriyanov and M. Kucikow
(JHEP08 2020 ; JHEP01 (2021) ; eprint 2108.04856)

OUTLINE

- NC GAUGE THEORY (ONE STANDARD APPROACH: DUBOIS-VIOLETTE - MICHOR)
NC- U(1) case and Moyal example
- NOVEL APPROACH BASED ON SYMPLECTIC EMBEDDINGS (Szabo & Kupriyanov)
 - Deformed gauge transformations
 - Deformed field strength
 - Examples with Lie-algebra type NC
 - Connection with homotopy algebras and L_∞ bootstrap
- SUMMARY, PERSPECTIVES -----

WHAT IS THE PROBLEM WE WANT TO ADDRESS?

Motivations for NC field theory date back to many decades ago, but still valid

There is no general consensus about the more appropriate formulation, and at some stage we face problems

- Consistent formulation, compatible with commutative limit.
- appropriate differential calculus
- UV/IR mixing

"CLASSICAL" NC GAUGE THEORY

- $(A, *)$ NC algebra representing space-time
- M right A -module representing matter fields (replaces vector bundles)
- Unitary automorphisms of M representing gauge transformations
- Dynamics described by means of derivations based differential calculus

Gauge connection

$$\nabla: \text{Der}(A) \times M \rightarrow M$$

$$\nabla_X (mf) = \nabla_X m f + m X(f)$$

s.t.

$$X(h(m_1, m_2)) = h(\nabla_X m_1, m_2) + h(m_1, \nabla_X m_2)$$

with h Hermitian structure

$$h: M \times M \rightarrow A$$

Curvature : $F(X, Y) : M \rightarrow M$

$$F(X, Y)m = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]m - \nabla_{[X, Y]}m \quad X, Y \in \text{Der}(A)$$

Gauge transformations:

automorphisms of M compatible with h

$$g \in U(M)$$

$$g(mf) = g(m) f$$

$$m_1, m_2 \in M; f \in A$$

$$h(g(m_1), g(m_2)) = h(m_1, m_2)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^g &= g^{-1} \circ \nabla_X \circ g \\ F^g(X, Y) &= g^{-1} \circ F(X, Y) \circ g \end{aligned}$$

For NC $U(1)$:

commutative matter fields are sections
of complex line bundle \Rightarrow

NC generalization is
1-dimensional A -module

$$M_{\mathbb{1}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes A$$

$m \in M_{\mathbb{1}}$ identified with $\mathbb{1} f$

$$h(m_1, m_2) = h(f_1, f_2) = f_1^+ f_2$$

$$\nabla_X \text{ determined by action on } \mathbb{1} \quad \nabla_X (\mathbb{1} f) = \nabla_X (\mathbb{1}) f + X(f)$$

connection one form $A: X \rightarrow A(X) := i \nabla_X (\mathbb{1})$

gauge transformations: $g(\mathbb{1} f) = g(\mathbb{1}) * f$

$$h(g(\mathbb{1} f_1), g(\mathbb{1} f_2)) = f_1^+ f_2$$

implies $g(\mathbb{1})^+ * g(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}$

pose $g(\mathbb{1}) = g \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ unitary elems of A
acting multiplicatively from the left

Ex: $(A, *) = \mathbb{R}_\Theta^2$ Moyal plane

• $\text{Der}(A) \longrightarrow$ generated by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$

• $F_{\mu\nu} = F(\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu) = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i [A_\mu, A_\nu]_x \rightarrow (1)$

$(A_\mu = i \nabla_\mu(1))$

• gauge transformations: $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R}_\Theta^2) \ni g = \exp_x(i\mathfrak{f})$

• $A_\mu^g = g * A_\mu * g^\dagger - i \partial_\mu g * g^\dagger$

$F_{\mu\nu}^g = g * F_{\mu\nu} * g^\dagger$

$g = \exp_x(i\mathfrak{f})$

unitaries of $\mathbb{R}_\Theta^2 \longrightarrow$

$\delta_{\mathfrak{f}} A_\mu = \partial_\mu \mathfrak{f} + i [\mathfrak{f}, A_\mu]_x$

$\delta_{\mathfrak{f}} F_{\mu\nu} = i [\mathfrak{f}, F_{\mu\nu}]_x$

$${}^{(1)} \quad F(x, y)_m = \nabla_x \nabla_y m - \nabla_y \nabla_x m - \nabla_{[x, y]} m$$

$$X = \partial_y, \quad Y = \partial_x \quad [X, Y] = 0 \quad ; \quad m = \mathbb{1} \neq$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x \nabla_y m &= \nabla_x (\nabla_y (\mathbb{1}) \neq + \mathbb{1} Y(\neq)) = \nabla_x (\mathbb{1} A(Y) \neq + \mathbb{1} Y(\neq)) \\ &= \nabla_x (\mathbb{1}) A(Y) \neq + \mathbb{1} X(A(Y) \neq) + \nabla_x (\mathbb{1}) Y(\neq) + \mathbb{1} X(Y(\neq)) \\ &= \mathbb{1} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &A(X) A(Y) \neq + X(A(Y) \neq) + \cancel{A(Y) X(\neq)} + \cancel{A(X) Y(\neq)} + \\ &+ X(Y(\neq)) \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla_y \nabla_x m = \mathbb{1} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &A(Y) A(X) \neq + Y(A(X) \neq) + \cancel{A(X) Y(\neq)} + \cancel{A(Y) X(\neq)} + \\ &+ Y(X(\neq)) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\nabla_x \nabla_y - \nabla_y \nabla_x) m = \mathbb{1} \left\{ [A(X) A(Y) - A(Y) A(X)] + X(A(Y) - Y(A(X))) \right\} \neq$$

The generalisation to $U(N)$ requires $M \equiv \mathbb{C}^N \otimes A$

The first two questions addressed $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{commutative limit a)} \\ \text{differential calculus b)} \end{array} \right.$

are fulfilled by Moyal NC space-time

In general do not work properly for non-constant non-commutativity

Already for $[x^\mu, x^\nu]_* = \Theta^{\mu\nu}(x)$
with $\Theta^{\mu\nu}(x)$ linear in x

the commutative limit is not correct (\Rightarrow ~~a)~~)

the derivation based differential calculus not adequate to describe dynamics ~~b)~~

Alternatives : modify the differential calculus (e.g. twist)
 modify the action functional
 ...
 :

Approach presented in this talk:

- Work in semi-classical approx. [star-commutator replaced by Poisson bracket: $(A, *_\theta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}(M), \{, \}_\theta)$]
- Reinterpret standard (commutative) gauge theory within symplectic geometry of (T^*M, ω_0) ("canonical" symplectic embedding)
- Introduce new ω s.t. $\pi_{T^*}^* \omega^{-1} = \Theta$ $\Theta = \Theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \wedge \partial_\nu$ so to embed non trivial PB on M , $\{, \}_\theta$ (symplectic embedding of deformed PB)
- Define gauge transformations, field strength and dynamics, within the modified symplectic embedding

• Standard $U(1)$ gauge theory revisited

$$(T^*M, \omega_0)$$

$$\omega_0 = d\lambda_0 \quad \lambda_0 \text{ Liouville one-form}$$

gauge potential

$$A \in \Omega^1(U) \quad U \subset M$$

A is associated with a local section

$$s_A: U \rightarrow T^*U \quad \text{through the local}$$

trivialisation

$$\psi_U: U \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$$

$$\psi_U^{-1}(s_A(x)) = (x, A(x)) \quad x \in U$$

The image of s_A is a submanifold of T^*U

Consider

$$\Sigma_A = \lambda_0 - \pi^*A$$

local one-form
on T^*U

By definition λ_0 s.t. $S_A^*(\lambda_0) = A$

(given $S_i : x \rightarrow u \in T^*M$ s.t. $\psi_i^{-1}(S_i(x)) = (x, \alpha(x))$
 λ_0 is the tautological one-form namely $S_i^*(\lambda_0)(x) = \alpha(x)$)

but also $S_A^*(\pi^*A) = (\pi \circ S_A)^*A = A$

$\Rightarrow S_A^*(\xi_A) = 0$ ξ_A vanishes exactly on
 $\text{im}(S_A) \subset T^*U$

in local coordinates $\xi_A \rightarrow p_\mu dx^\mu - A_\mu dx^\mu$

$S_A^*(\xi_A) = 0 \rightarrow$ fixes the fibre coordinate
of $(x, p(x))$ at $p = A$

\Rightarrow The infinitesimal gauge transformation of A
with gauge parameter f may be defined in terms
of ω_0^{-1}

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_p A_\nu(x) &:= S_A^* \left\{ \pi^* f, \sum_{A_\nu} \right\} \omega_0^{-1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^e} \frac{\partial (p_\nu - A_\nu)}{\partial p_e} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} f \end{aligned}$$

- To summarize: we may say that, in order to compute $\delta_p A_\nu(x)$
- we have embedded the trivial PB $\{x^\mu, x^\nu\} = \odot$ on M into the canonical one on T^*M
 - we have identified A with the fibre coordinate of a local section on T^*M
 - we have computed PB's on T^*M
 - and pulled-back to M

We can add :

$$\delta_f \delta_g A - \delta_g \delta_f A = 0$$

namely

$$[\delta_f, \delta_g] A = \delta_{\{f, g\}_0} A$$

it being $\{f(x), g(x)\}_0 = 0$

- The algebra of gauge transformations closes with respect to the (trivial) Poisson bracket on M
- The conjugate momentum, p , is an auxiliary variable, disappeared from the gauge algebra.

\implies Generalization to non-trivial PB on M

Symplectic realizations (SR), Symplectic embeddings (SE)

SR (Weinstein '83) (T^*M, ω_0) cotangent bundle

ω_0 canonical symplectic form, locally $\omega_0 = d\lambda_0 = dp_\mu \wedge dx^\mu$

λ_0 Liouville one-form

$\Theta = \Theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}$ non-trivial \mathcal{PB} on M

representing our semiclassical approx
of NC space-time

One defines: $X^\Theta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

$$X^\Theta = \Theta(\lambda_0, \cdot) = \Theta^{\mu\nu} p_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}$$

associated flow: φ_t^Θ , $t \in \mathbb{R}$

In terms of φ_t^\ominus it is possible to construct a new symplectic form on M , at least locally:

$$\omega = \int_0^1 (\varphi_t^\ominus)^* (\omega_0) dt \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Weinstein '83} \\ \text{Crainic \& Marcut '11} \end{array} \right)$$

such that $\pi_{0*}(\omega^{-1}) = \ominus$
 it projects down to \ominus , PB on M .

In local coordinates $\omega = dp_\mu \wedge dy^\mu$
 with $y^\mu = \int_0^1 x^\mu \circ \varphi_t^\ominus dt$

the Jacobian $(J^\mu)_\nu = \left(\frac{\partial y^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} \right)$ is formally invertible

denote $\gamma(x, p) = J^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow \omega^{-1} = \Theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \gamma^\mu{}_\nu(x, p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu}$$

Generalization (Symplectic Embedding)

Define Λ as a deformation of Θ

$$\Lambda = \Theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \gamma^\mu{}_\nu(x, p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu}$$

Satisfying Jacobi's identity $[\Lambda, \Lambda]_S = 0$

provided Θ does ($[\Theta, \Theta]_S = 0$)

This produces an equation for the unknown γ :

$$\gamma^\mu{}_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} \gamma^\xi{}_\lambda - \gamma^\xi{}_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} \gamma^\mu{}_\lambda + \Theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \gamma^\xi{}_\lambda - \Theta^{\xi\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \gamma^\mu{}_\lambda - \gamma^\nu{}_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \Theta^{\mu\xi} = 0$$

Provided we find solutions for the matrix γ , gauge transformations are defined as in the canonical case:

$$\delta_{\vec{f}} A_{\nu}(x) = S_A^* \left\{ \pi^* \vec{f}, \sum_{A_{\nu}} \right\}_{\Lambda}$$

with $\sum_{A_{\nu}} = \lambda_0 - \pi^* A \xrightarrow{\text{locally}} \int_{\mu} dx^{\mu} - A_{\nu} dx^{\nu}$

and Λ the non trivial PB with $\pi_{\mu}^* \Lambda = \Theta$

this yields:

$$\delta_{\vec{f}} A_{\nu}(x) = - \{ \vec{f}, A_{\nu} \}_{\Theta} + \gamma_{\rho}^{\mu}(A) \frac{\partial \vec{f}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial A_{\nu} = \gamma_{\nu}^{\rho}(A)}{\partial p_{\rho}} \frac{\partial \vec{f}}{\partial x^{\rho}} - \{ \vec{f}, A_{\nu} \}_{\Theta}$$

"Commutative" limit: $\left. \begin{array}{l} \Theta \rightarrow 0 \\ \gamma^{\mu}_{\nu} \rightarrow \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \delta_{\vec{f}} A_{\nu}(x) = \frac{\partial \vec{f}}{\partial x^{\nu}}$

Closure of the gauge algebra:

$$[\delta_{\vec{f}}, \delta_{\vec{g}}] A_{\nu}(x) = - \{ \{ \vec{f}, \vec{g} \}_{\Theta}, A_{\nu} \}_{\Theta} + \gamma^{\mu}_{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \{ \vec{f}, \vec{g} \}_{\Theta}$$

namely : $[\delta_f, \delta_g] A = \delta_{\{f, g\}_\Theta} A$

it also satisfies $\delta_{fg} A_\mu = f \delta_f A_\mu + g \delta_g A_\mu$

as in the commutative case, $\gamma_\nu^\mu(p) \rightarrow \gamma_\nu^\mu(A)$
namely the auxiliary variable p has disappeared.

Matrix that for Moyal NC $\Theta = \text{const} \Rightarrow \partial_\mu \Theta^{\rho\sigma} = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \gamma_\nu^\mu(A) = \delta_\nu^\mu$

yielding back

$$\delta_f A_\nu = \partial_\nu f - \{f, A_\nu\}_\Theta$$

($\gamma_\nu^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu$ also solution of the fully NC case \rightarrow

$$\delta_f A_\nu = \partial_\nu f - [f, A_\nu]_X$$

Solutions for γ_ν^μ have been found for linear, Lie algebra type
non-commutativity. Details later (if there is time)

What about the field strength?

let us return to the classical case $\Theta = 0$

$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ may be rewritten in terms of canonical PB as

$$F_{\mu\nu} = S_A^* \left\{ \sum_{A_\mu}, \sum_{A_\nu} \right\} \omega_0^{-1} = -\frac{\partial(p_\mu - A_\mu)}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial(p_\nu - A_\nu)}{\partial p_\rho} + \frac{\partial(p_\nu - A_\nu)}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial(p_\mu - A_\mu)}{\partial p_\rho}$$

$$= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

\Rightarrow A natural generalization when $\{x^\mu, x^\nu\} \neq 0$ would be to use the symplectic embedding again:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = S_A^* \left\{ \sum_{A_\mu}, \sum_{A_\nu} \right\}_\chi = \left\{ A_\mu(x), A_\nu(x) \right\}_\Theta$$

$$- \chi_\sigma^\rho(A) \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial p_\nu}{\partial p_\sigma} + \chi_\sigma^\rho(A) \frac{\partial A_\nu}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial p_\mu}{\partial p_\sigma}$$

namely :

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(x)} = \{ A_\nu(x), A_\mu(x) \}_\Theta + \gamma_\mu^\rho \partial_\rho A_\nu - \gamma_\nu^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu$$

and compute its gauge transformation according to the definition

$$\delta_\phi \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(x)} = S_A^* \{ \pi^* \phi, \pi^* \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \}_\chi$$

However, this definition is not covariant :

$$\delta_\phi \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(x)} = - \{ \phi, \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(x)} \}_\Theta + \text{unwanted terms}$$

\Rightarrow One has to modify the definition of \tilde{F} .

It turns out that

$$F_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}(A, x) \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{transforms covariantly if ;}$$

If the unknown function $R_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the second master equation:

$$\gamma_{\sigma}^{\xi} \frac{\partial R_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\omega}}{\partial A_{\sigma}} + \Theta^{\xi\sigma} \partial_{\sigma} R_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\omega} + R_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial \gamma_{\sigma}^{\xi}}{\partial A_{\omega}} + R_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma\omega} \frac{\partial \gamma_{\sigma}^{\xi}}{\partial A_{\rho}} = 0$$

$R_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\omega} \rightarrow \delta_{\mu}^{\rho} \delta_{\nu}^{\omega} - \delta_{\mu}^{\omega} \delta_{\nu}^{\rho}$ in the commutative limit.

What is the meaning of this deformation through $R_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$ within the symplectic embedding?

Unclear to me

(see Kupriyanov & Szabo '21)

Anyway, with γ and R satisfying the two master eq's I have shown \Rightarrow

- \mathcal{F} fully covariant
- might commutative limit
- the Poisson algebra of gauge transformations closes

2nd and 3rd point fit nicely into symplectic embedding.

1st to be better understood.

It is possible to define a Lagrangian, which turns out to be covariant and with right commutative limit at least for linear SB's.



$$\mathcal{L} := -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\neq} \mathcal{L} &:= -\frac{1}{4} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (F_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon \delta_{\neq} F_{\mu\nu}) (F_{\rho\sigma} + \varepsilon \delta_{\neq} F_{\rho\sigma}) \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \\ &= \dots = -\{ \neq, \mathcal{L} \}_{\Theta} \end{aligned}$$

and this is a total divergence at least for linear NC

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu} = \Theta \delta_{\neq}^{\mu\nu} x^{\rho}$$

\Rightarrow We have an invariant action with correct commutative limit provided we find solutions for \neq and $R_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$

Explicit solutions found for 4-dim cases with commuting time and $[x^i, x^j]_* = f_{ij}^k x^k$

Connection with L_∞ bootstrap approach

L_∞ algebras are homotopy generalisations of Lie algebras defined on graded vector space

$$V = \bigoplus V_k$$

$k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the grading of V_k

$l_n: (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow v \in V$
graded antisymmetric

and satisfy generalised Jacobi identities.

For $V = V_0 \oplus V_{-1}$ $f \in V_0$ gauge parameters
 $A \in V_{-1}$ gauge potentials

set $l_1(f) = df$ (classical data)
 $l_2(f, g) = \{f, g\}$ (deformation)

\Rightarrow by looking for closure of the algebra one builds $\mathcal{D}_f A$ which is searched in the form

$$\mathcal{D}_f A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-2)}{2}} l_{n+1}(f, A, \dots, A) \quad (1)$$

The completion of the algebra starting from $l_1(f)$, $l_2(f, g)$ is the L_∞ bootstrap.

It is proven (Kupuyarov & Szabo) that there exists a one to one correspondence between L_∞ -bootstrap and symplectic embeddings.

The correspondence is explicitly given by expanding our previous

$$\mathcal{D}_f A = -\{f, A\}_\theta dx^n + \gamma_{\mu}^{\rho}(A) \partial_\rho f dx^\mu \quad \text{in powers of } A$$

and comparing with (1)

Summary

- I have illustrated a constructive procedure to build semiclassical approximations of NC $U(1)$ gauge theory through symplectic embedding.

correct commutative limit ✓
covariant field strength and YM Lagrangian ✓
closure of Poisson gauge algebra ✓
explicit solutions for 4-dim linear NC ✓
connection to L_∞ bootstrap ✓

- Geometric meaning of F ?
- Extension to a full gauge theory with matter fields
(Kupriyanov & Szabo)
- Non-uniqueness of solutions

⋮